SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_51008
Title of the Manuscript:	Snack consumption pattern of adults in the University of Calabar & its health implications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic was not fully addressed as the aspect of health implication of snack consumption was not addressed. The methodology did not show how the health implications were assessed and there was no result on the implication. Some results were not well explained. How were the Dietary Diversity Score (DDS) derived? There is no result showing Chi Square Statistic that was mentioned by the Author/Authors I do not think that observation is good enough to conclude that people are overweight There is need to show how intake of snacks filled the gap for those who skipped meals in order to address the topic	
Minor REVISION comments	Some aspects introduced in the materials and methods section like medical history and lifestyle had no result shown by the author/authors	
Optional/General comments	The author/authors should concentrate on the aspects of the research reflected in the topic There should be consistency in the presentation of the references in the reference section especially in the Journal formats as some were abbreviated and others written in full	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nzeagwu, Ogechi Chinyere
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)