SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_50608
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Annona Muricata Extract On Colon Antioxidant Status and Ketone Bodies in Blood and Urine of Cycas-Treated Rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Tiltle: The extract was obtained from the leaves hence— Effect of Annona Muticata leaves extract 2. It would have been advisable to do immunohistochemical analysis after histopathology to ascertain the pharmacological claims 3. The quantitative detection of early and late apostosis should be done using correlation statistical analysis or Dunnet's multiple comparison test. 4. Phytochemical analysis on the leaf extract is required 5. Clinical trial and experiment protocols needs to be followed eg Animal and Ethic statements is missing, what time sample is taken etc 6. Antioxidant capacity studies of the extract is need since it is mentioned too in your title 7. Cell viability studies based on MTT assay is inevitable. This gives a very strong upper hand on your claims	
Minor REVISION comments	Recommendations for your studies is manadatory	
Optional/General comments	. Revise your abstract and limit it to not more than 250 words.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ochieng O Anthony
Department, University & Country	Sumait University, Tanzania

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)