



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_51084
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular diversity and extended spectrum beta-lactamase resistance of diarrheagenic Escherichia coli from patients attending selected health care facilities in Nasarawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Material and methods; Line 54; 2.1 is missing, probably sample collection should be numbered as 2.1 Line 95; The word comparism should be changed to comparison Line 128; Table 2: The words aplicon and amplimer should be changed to amplicon Line 145; Rephrase the sentence</p> <p>Isolation & Identifications: Which control strains were used?</p> <p>Results and Discussion Authors should review the numbering of tables Line 167: Occurrence of <i>E. coli</i> in relation to to age and gender is shown in table 4 & 5, not 3& 4 Line 169: Molecular detection of ESBL production in <i>E. coli</i> isolates is shown in table 6,not 5 Line 180: Co-existence of ESBL is shown in table 7 , not 6 Line 184: Distribution of resistant strain is shown in table 9 not 7</p> <p>Competing Interest Authors should declare competing interest</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Abstract is informative, however the methodology section should be improved</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is a well written & informative, however, author should work on the comments addressed above</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kaunara .A. Azizi
Department, University & Country	Tanzania