



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50920
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Genetic Variability and Character Association of Myanmar Local Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) Germplasm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>The abstract lacks a brief specification of methodology, where specifying genetic variables evaluated.</p> <p>Specify the statistical analysis applied to the variables.</p> <p>To publicize the outstanding results in terms of numbers on: plant height (cm), effective tillers hill⁻¹ (no.), panicle/straw weight ratio, panicle length (cm), filled grain percent, spikelet panicle⁻¹ (no.), 1000 grain weight (g), harvest index, yield plant⁻¹.</p> <p>MATERIAL AND METHODS</p> <p>The methodology lacks information on the weather (precipitation, temperature) and on the physicochemical characteristics of soil.</p> <p>Specify the geographical coordinates of the location where it was just planting rice, mentioning the altitude of the study site.</p> <p>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>Lines 68-68: Change “3.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for agronomic traits of 42 Myanmar local rice genotypes” to “3.1 Evaluation of agronomic traits of 42 Myanmar local rice genotypes”</p> <p>Line 70: mention the value of the probability when mentioning the words “highly significant differences”.</p> <p>The results on the determination of agronomic traits of 42 Local Myanmar rice genotypes were not compared and discussed with the similar findings by other authors.</p> <p>The results on the coefficient of Variation (CV) of agronomic traits were not interpreted in the text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>Provide information on production and yield of rice and its economic importance.</p> <p>Change the following lines:</p> <p>Line 81: “Genetic parameters of 42 genotypes” to “Genetic variables of 42 genotypes”...</p> <p>Line 95: “the result occurred by (21), (22), (23, 24)” to “the result occurred by Devi et al.,[21]; Prajapati et al., [22]; Sandhya et al., [23] and Onyia et al., [24].”</p> <p>Lines 101-115: The following results on: High broad sense heritability, the values of heritability, the genetic advance (spikelet panicle⁻¹), were not discussed with the similar findings of other authors.</p> <p>Line 132: “These results collaborate with the finding of (25) who” to “These results collaborate with the finding of Ogunbayo et al. [25] who”</p> <p>Line 167: “Similar finding was reported by (41)” to “Similar finding was reported by Panwar and Ali [41]”...</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>REFERENCES Provide the references according to editorial standards set by taking into account the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Place the word "and" between the names when it comes to two authors. • Place the word "and" before the penultimate names when it comes to three or more authors. • Not to mention the words "et al.," In the references. For example the reference "Ogunbayo S, Eye D, Sanni K, M Akinwale, Toulou B, Shittu A, et al." 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Rahim Foroughbakhch</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Mexico</p>