



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_48592
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental Movement and the Conservation of Forest: a Case Study on Ratargul Swamp Forest of Sylhet, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Replace keywords that are already cited in the title - Inform the size of the area and the nature of the reservation, public or private? - Detail how each group of the sample was represented, eg. how many environmentalists, how many of the governmental organs ... - Detail how samples were obtained, questionnaires, interviews? notes, recordings? in how many times? - What analyzes were performed? why no table or figure is shown in the text? - I do not understand why a global economic model is cited as a local reserve management strategy? The text is confusing in this sense. - As the text lacks information, it is not possible to clearly understand where the actors want to come with the story. The case study can be very important for the region, for the conservation of the reserve, for the government and for the environmentalists, but, in the way it is presented, without contextualizations with the literature, it does not arouse the attention of the reader who is not from the region . Another weakness is that it does not present the perceptions of the different interviewees, as each organization is contemplated in practical terms in the management plan. - The conclusion must be objective, without philosophical journeys. It should inform the reader if the management plan has proved to be efficient, if it can be applied to other realities and countries, it is guaranteed that the reserve in question will be protected by the management plan ... 	
Minor REVISION comments	See comments	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junir Antonio Lutinski
Department, University & Country	Regional Community University of Chapeco, Brazil