



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_50963
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING, ANTI-NUTRITIONAL AND MINERALCOMPOSITION OF Telfairia Occidetallis (FLUTED PUMPKIN) AND Cleome Rutidosperma(FRINGE SPIDER FLOWER)
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>General Revision Introduction is too long, so shortened to 1page and add originality of the research. Please clarify what the originality is in your study.</p> <p>Individual Line 7-8 deletes Line 30 change to wine Line 35 change to believed Lines 38 to 43 delete Line 48 deletes Line 56 to 63 delete Line 77 to 80 deletes Line 106 ether extraction was validated as the most appropriate solvent? Line 110 Determination procedure should be described concretely. Line 116 Proximate analysis should be described concretely. Line 121 mobile phase is the mixture of MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (7/3), but extraction is ether. Both polarities significantly differ, so MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (7/3) is appropriate? Line 135 why do you use atomic absorption method rather than ICP? Line 145 what are the bioactive compounds? Line 183 to 188 is described in Table. Line 215 to 218 is described in Table.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Hideharu Shintani</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Chuo University, Tokyo, Japan</i></b>