



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_48070
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Efficacy of Different Brands of Baker's Yeast Used in Bread production in Jos Metropolis
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract « Statistical calculation revealed that the mean percentage viability varied significantly from 99.4% in Fermipan active dry yeast to 100 percent in the other six brands with standard deviation of 0.4 » This mode of expression does not meet any rule of statistical analysis that I know. Please take the advice of a statistician</p> <p>Introduction Line 34 : Write <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> instead of <i>Saccharomyces Cerevisiae</i></p> <p>Materials and Methods Please, specify the statistical methods you used The unit of the liter is L</p> <p>« The fermentation rate which corresponds to the degree of respiratory rate of the yeast was computed by taking the readings of the changes in pH of the yeast solution against time. » Specify that this is the decrease in pH, please</p> <p>« Measurement of Fermentation rate in Bread Yeast » Specify the specifications of the pH-meter used please</p> <p>« The mean percentage significance difference between Fermipan active dry yeast and six others used by these Bakers » To be supported by a statistical test please</p> <p>Results and discussion Tableau 1 : A percentage is accompanied by a confidence interval while an average is accompanied by a standard deviation. This table is to review so please</p> <p>Line 124 : Write pH instead of Ph</p> <p>Conclusion Line 157 : Write « output for Vahine active dry yeast and Pasha instant active dry » instead of « output for Valine active dry yeast and pasha instant active dry »</p> <p>Références Very low number of references References too old Use references less than 10 years old</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Authors are invited to proofread the manuscript to correct spelling and syntax errors	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript written in a scientific style; acceptable methodology leading to significant results. Can be published	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moutawakilou Gomina
Department, University & Country	University of Parakou, Benin