



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Parasitology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_51182
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of vectors of public health importance in major dumpsites in Port Harcourt metropolis, Rivers state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I have reviewed this article in detail, it is very well written and well explained with following additions There is too much detail in study area and sampling site.kindly review it and precisely describe on give information that is necessary Conclusion should be with bold headline in last paragraph In discussion why reference of tables and figures is not mentioned. Kindly do it After that revisions accept article	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Nain Taara Bukhari</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Agha Khan University Hospital, Pakistan</i></b>