



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_50663
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Participatory role on Urban Flood Risk Management of Ho Chi Minh City - Vietnam: From Awareness to Action
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>From the corrections of the manuscript one could evaluate its quality. The topic is not in any way unique or special. Author has made a mess of the contents, by structuring the manuscript without any clarity. Simple and straight forward survey details have been made complicated; due to very poor language .I tried my best to make the manuscript worth reading. There are innumerable mistakes at many places. Even figure numbers have not been included in the main frame of the text, for reasons known to the author. Survey details should have been detailed in an orderly basis. Number of errors crept in making the output clumsy.</p> <p>Since I have already upgraded manuscript's quality it can be published after ensuring survey details are correct in every sense.</p> <p>However ,let all the references given in the references subsection be reflected in the main frame of the manuscript. That exercise can expose any plagiarism.</p> <p>Overlapping of results and lack of clarity in the survey outcome need to be corrected</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	A significant effort by the author is needed to make the manuscript important for planning personnel to make use of the survey out come to lessen the impact of floods.	
Optional/General comments	Please see the details given in the first two columns	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>P. R. Reddy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>National Geophysical Research Institute , India</i>