

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSE_51114
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing the Determinants of Tourists' Buying Behavior in Sri Lanka: With Special Reference to Tourism Destinations i
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 You can add a map. The paper needs a very careful proof-reading as there are some typos and grammatical errors. Line 38, 39 buying Behavior when(Why capital letter?), The paper is not comprehensive in terms of explaining the methodology. Details on the full process should be explained. No info about the data collection methods and materials. How did you develop the questionnaire, the scales, etc? Have you conducted a pilot study? What was the language of the questionnaires; with what method have you translated? Please provide more details on methodology. You could add Sri Lanka to your key words. Lines 279-284 and throughout the text: Here you cannot use the term "tourists". You should use "participations". Table 05. These expenditures are per person or per family, or per couple? You can list solid results and make recommendations based on your results. 	
Optional/General comments		

s in Down South of Sri Lanka

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atilla Akbaba
Department, University & Country	İzmir Katip Celebi University, Turkey

l with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her