Original Research Article

INCIDENCE OF PLANT VIRAL DISEASE SYMPTOMS AND THEIR TRANSMISSION AGENTS IN DUTSIN-MA METROPOLIS

4 5

1 2

3

6 7 **Abstract**

7 8

This work explores the incidence of plant viral disease symptoms as well as their 9 transmission agents in Dutsin-Ma Local Government Area Katsina State, Nigeria. The 10 studied diseased plants were identified while diseases were based on visual inspection using 11 characteristic symptoms. Organisms associated with such symptoms were collected by 12 13 handpicking, shacking/beating and tissue teasing methods. Identification was done using a standard voucher. Incidence of plants with viral symptoms was determined by plant disease 14 index method. Chi square analysis was used to ascertain significant differences (P \geq 0.05) of 15 16 plants showing viral symptoms. Results shows that plants with viral symptoms included 17 Amaranthus sp. (Amaranthus), Vigna unguiculata (cowpea), Zea mays (maize), Abelmoschus esculentus (okra), Carica papaya (pawpaw) and Capsicum sp (pepper). Studies also reveal 18 19 Myzus persicae (aphids), Frankinella occidentalis (thrips), Bemisa tabaci (whitefly), Peregrinus maydis (leafhoppers) and Pseudococcidae (mealy bugs) as organisms associated 20 with diseased plants with viral symptoms. Disease index showed Amaranthus spp. 63%, 21 Vigna unguiculata 84%, Zea mays 73%, Abelmoschus esculentus, Carica papaya and 22 *Capsicum spp.* 100%. Incidence rate varied significantly ($P \ge 0.05$) in the various locations 23 surveyed. Further studies need to be carried out to identify the individual viruses. 24

24 25

26 Keywords: Incidence, plant viral diseases, symptoms, transmission agents.

27

28 Introduction:

29 Plants have been a major source of food, fibre, medicine and shelter since their domestication 30 thousands of years ago [1]. Around 80% of agricultural activities in the world are channelled towards animal feed production and food [2]. In the 20th century, crop production was 31 focused on increasing productivity to meet the worlds increasing population [3,4,5]. Despite 32 these efforts, some factors have limited the achievement of this goal. Plant diseases make up 33 one of these factors as they affect food quality and quantity [6]. The key causative agents 34 responsible for plant diseases are non-parasitic agents that include environmental factors such 35 as humidity and temperature and parasitic agents consisting of fungi, parasitic nematodes, 36 bacteria and viruses [2,7]. 37

Viruses among other parasitic agents are responsible for several plant diseases thus reducing 38 plant yield and quality universally. About one thousand (1000) of four thousand (4000) 39 estimated viruses have been identified to be plant related. One of the key reasons for studying 40 41 plant viruses is to diagnose the negative impact of the diseases caused by this organism on plants [8]. The transmission of plant viruses from one host to the other is usually through 42 tubers, bud wood or seeds [9]. Most viruses that cause plant disease depend on biotic vectors 43 for their survival and transmission [10]. Almost all plants cultivated by humans for fibre, 44 45 livestock feed and food are affected by at least one virus. Although plant viruses do not cause immediate effect on humans as with that of human viruses, they indirectly affect food supply 46

47 significantly [11].

Due to viral infections, losses of over \$1.5 billion are reported in South-East Asia rice 48 cultivation [12] and estimates of losses have been calculated as \$63 million in apple in the 49 United States [13], and over \$20 million in potato in the United Kingdom [12]. According to 50 51 [14], plant viruses are transmitted from host to host through budwood, seeds or tubers, or by arthropods, nematodes, fungi, or plasmodiophorid vectors. The majority of plant viruses that 52 cause disease in agricultural crops rely on biotic vectors for transmission and survival [10]. 53 This is because viral transmission is an important step in the biological cycle of viruses as it 54 ensures their maintenance and survival. Understanding viral transmission process is critical 55 for the development of effective management strategies for diseases caused by plant viruses. 56 More than half of the nearly 550 vector transmitted virus species recorded so far are 57 disseminated by aphids (55%), 11% by leafhoppers, another 11% by beetles, 9% by 58 whiteflies of the phylum arthropoda, others from this group are transmitted by thrips, mites, 59 mirids, or mealybugs [15]. Tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) which is transmitted by thrips 60 responsible for losses of over \$1 billion in vegetable and ornamental crops and has the largest 61 host range of any plant virus infecting more than a thousand plant species from 84 families. 62 Transmission by fungi and plasmiodiophorids has also been recorded. 63

Despite several efforts that include quarantine [2], cultivation of viral free planting materials 64 [16] and development of transgenic resistant varieties [17] to curb the spread of plant viruses 65 as well as their effects; the incidence and transmission of plant viruses remains an omen to 66 plant cultivation worldwide. This study explores the incidence of plant viral disease 67 symptoms as well as their transmission agents as a base work in Dutsin-Ma Local 68 Government Area (DLGA) Katsina State with the following objectives include; 69 70

- To determine crop plants with viral symptoms in DLGA.
 - To determine and identify insect vectors associated with the viral diseased plants.
 - To determine the incidence of crop plants with viral symptoms
- 73

71

72

74 **Materials and Methods:**

Study Area 75

76 This study was carried out in DLGA, Katsina State, Nigeria. DLGA lies on latitude 12°26'18" N and longitude 07°29'29" E with an elevation of 605m (1,985ft) above sea level. The town 77 is bounded to the north by Kurfi and Charanchi LGAs, to the east by Kankia LGA, to the 78 79 west by Safana and Dan-Musa LGAs and to the south-east by Matazu LGA. DLGA has a land area of about 552.323 km2 (203sqm). 80

Plant (healthy and diseased) samples were collected randomly from five different locations 81 that include Federal University Dutsin-Ma Biological Garden, Garhi Village, Federal 82

University Dutsin-Ma livestock farms, Sokoto Rima Farms, and Wakaji Village in DLGA for 83 84 study. Crops were sampled over a period of three months from June, 2017 to August, 2017.

85

86 Visual inspection and identification of plants and viral diseases symptoms

In this study, visual inspection method with the aid of a standard voucher of plant diseases as 87 modified from [9] was used to detect plants infection by plant viruses based on the 88 characteristic symptoms conferred on such plants. The various plants were identified using a 89 plant identification voucher. The vegetative parts of the plants were visually inspected and 90 plant samples with above ground anomalies such as mosaic patterns on leaves, chlorosis and 91 vellowing streaking of the leaves, fruit malformations and discoloration of flowering parts 92 were sampled. The symptoms found on sampled plants were compared with the symptoms of 93 known viral diseases peculiar to sampled plants, as presented by [9] Identification was 94 95 therefore based on symptoms. This was also supported by [17].

Collection and identification of vectors associated with plants showing viral symptoms. 96

- 97 A total number of 2,585 plant leaves were collected including Amaranth (285), cowpea (480),
- 98 maize (650), okra (550), pawpaw (40) and pepper (580) and the infected cases were as
- 99 follows: Amaranth (82), cowpea (309), maize (419), okra (396), pawpaw (40), pepper (515)
 100 totalling 1,757.
- Three main methods that include handpicking, shaking/beating and sweeping were employed 101 to collect arthropod vectors using methods modified from [18]. In handpicking, arthropod 102 103 vectors were obtained from collected samples. In shacking/beating, a tray was placed under the plant that was shacked vigorously until arthropod vectors on the diseased plant dropped. 104 This allowed for several diseased plants to be sampled at the same time. Sweep net were used 105 106 to collect arthropods vectors capable of flying. The net was used to sweep around the collected plant samples after shacking hence, capturing vectors that flew of the plants. 107 Collected arthropod vectors were preserved using 10% ethanol solution thereafter, detailed 108 109 morphological examination using a dissecting microscope. Identification of vectors was referenced to a standard voucher. 110
- 111 To collect and identify nematode vectors, the methods [19] was adopted. Fifty grams (50g) of
- the roots of infected plants was randomly selected. Samples collected included stems and
- 113 leaves that appeared to be attacked by these vectors. Collected samples were placed in
- 114 polythene bags and immediately labelled. Tissue teasing method was used to extract the endo
- nematodes in roots and stem of the diseased plants. To collect exo nematodes, the plant
- 116 material was rinsed with distilled water to be free of soil thereafter, placed in a beaker top
- 117 covered with a petri dish. This was left for 24 hours after which the various plant parts were
- 118 removed from the beaker for examination.

119 Statistical Analysis:

- 120 The percentage occurrence of plant viruses based on observed symptoms were calculated
- 121 thereafter, the statistical significance was accessed using chi square analysis to compare the
- incidence of infection amongst the five farms in DLGA.

123 **Results:**

124 Table 1 reports the six diseased plants identified with respect to their symptoms described by

- the plant colour, pattern and distribution. These include Amaranthus sp. (Amaranth), Vigna
- 126 unguiculata (Cowpea), Zea mays (Maize), Abelmoschus esculentus (Okra), Carica papaya
- 127 (Pawpaw) and *Capsicum spp*. (Pepper).

|--|

Crop		Symptoms		Suspected
	Plant colour	Pattern	Description	aisease
Amaranth	Plant leaves appeared yellowish green	Mosaic patterning with malformed leaves	Plants showed slight chlorosis with leaf curling	Amaranthus mosaic disease
Cowpea	Plant leaves appeared green yellow with chlorotic lesions	Green mottle on leaves with yellow mosaics on leaves	Plant leaves appeared deformed with yellow vines	Cowpea mosaic disease
Maize	Plant leaves appeared pale green with yellow streaks	Mosaic patterns with light and dark green mottles	Plants appeared stunted with yellow stripes along the midrib and chlorotic streaks on leaves	Maize mosaic disease
Okra	Plant appeared yellow	Yellow mosaic patterns	Plants appeared stunted	Okra yellow

	with signs of chloros	of leaf iis		showing vein clearing with alternate green and yellow patches	vein mosaic disease
Pawpaw	Plants appear d with yellowis	lark green h lamina	Severe leaf curling, crinkling and deformation with dark green mosaic	Plants appeared stunted, with reduced leaves, vein clearing and thickening of the veins	Papaya leaf curl disease
Pepper	Plants appear green	red pale	Yellow mosaic formations	Plants appeared stunted with vein branding	Pepper yellow mosaic disease
129					
130	Table 2: Identified	insects as	sociated with diseased crops	s showing viral symptoms.	
	Common name	Biolo	gical name	Insects	
	of crops	o	f crops		
	Amaranth	Amar	anthus sp.	Aphids	
	Cowpea	vigna Zea w	unguiculata	A nhids and loof honnors	
	Okra esculentus	Abelm	uys oschus	Aphids, whitefly and mealy	bugs
	Pawpaw	Carica	грарауа	Aphids and whiteflies	
	Pepper	Capsic	um sp.	Aphids and whiteflies	
101					

132 Table 3 shows the disease incidence of the crop samples in the five farms under the sample

133 population. Amaranthus spp. had its highest viral incidence rate (63%) in the University

134 livestock farm, Vigna unguiculata had 84% incidence in Sokoto Rima Farms, Zea mays had

135 100% in wakaji village, Abelmoschus esculentus recorded 100% incidence rate in both Garhi

village and livestock farm and *Capsicum spp.* was found to have 100% incidence rate inGarhi village.

Table 3: Incidence values of crops with viral disease symptoms in different locations in DLGA

Location

Incidence rate (%)

	Amaranth	Cowpea	Maize	Okra	Pawpaw	Pepper
FUDMA Botanical Garden	47.0	37.0	46.0	45.0	100.0	0.0
Garhi Village	0.0	54.0	65.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
FUDMA livestock farm	63.0	69.0	72.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Sokoto Rima farms	24.0	84.0	100.0	82.0	0.0	0.0
Wakaji village	0.0	34.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.0

140 X^2 cal 111.237> X^2 tab 13.280 so there is significant difference at P= 0.05%

141 Fig 1 shows the general incidence of diseased crops in Dutsin-Ma. Amaranth (Amaranthus

sp. L.) plant has the least incidence rate of 27% while Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus) had an

incidence rate of 65.4%.

144 Table 4: Mean incidence of crops with viral disease symptoms in Dutsinma

Сгор	Mean incidence rate (%)
Amaranth	27.0
Cowpea	55.6
Maize	56.6
Okra	65.4
Pawpaw	20.0
Pepper	35.6

145





147

148 Fig 1: Quantitative comparison of viral infected crops in DLGA.

149 **DISCUSSION**

Research results identified Amaranthu sp. L., Vigna unguiculata(L.)Walp, Zea mays L., 150 Abelmoschus esculentus(L.)Monech, Carica papaya L. and Capsicum spp. L. as the 151 commonly grown crops in the study area and were determined to possess viral symptoms in 152 all locations surveyed. This is consistent with the reports of [8] who reported that plant 153 viruses confer certain characteristic symptoms on infected plants. This also agrees with the 154 findings of [20] who reported that symptoms caused by plant viruses on infected plants 155 usually serve as the bases on which diseased plant problems are first noticed. Disease 156 157 symptoms of *Amaranth sp.*, obtained in this research are consistent with the reports by [21] who reported mosaic patterning or mottling and malformed leaves of Amaranthus to be 158 symptoms of Amaranthus mosaic disease. Viral symptoms observed with Cowpea in this 159 research corroborates with the reports of [22], who attributed chlorotic lesions, green mottle 160 and yellow mosaics formations on leaves of Cowpea plant to Cowpea mosaic disease. 161 Symptoms conferred on Maize as revealed in this research agrees favourably with [23], who 162 reported that Maize mosaic disease causes yellow striping along the midrib, chlorotic streaks 163 164 on leaves, with light yellow patches on upper leaf surface. [24] reported that vein clearing, chlorosis of leaves, yellow mosaic patterning associated with Okra as obtained in this 165 research is attributed to Okra mosaic disease of Okra. [25] in his report on Papaya disease 166 and its control reported severe leaf crinkling and curling with dark green patches and vein 167 clearing as obtained in this research as viral symptoms conferred on papaya plant by leaf curl 168 disease of papaya. [26] identified in the field in Brazil a disease of pepper that caused vein 169 170 branding and yellow mosaic formations which agrees favourably with results obtained in this research and attributed it to Pepper yellow mosaic disease. 171

172 Research reveals the association of vectors with diseased crops suggesting, their role in the 173 transmission of viral diseases. This corroborates with the findings of [10], who reported that, 174 majority of plant viruses that cause disease in agricultural crops rely on biotic vectors for transmission and survival and agrees favourably with [9], who reported that plant viruses are 175 transmitted from host to host by vectors which ensure their maintenance and continuous 176 survival. Evidence from this research shows that, Aphids were found to be associated with 177 diseased Amaranth plants and is supported by the reports of [27]. Thrips and whiteflies were 178 also found to be associated with diseased cowpea plants this is consistent with the reports of 179 [28] that whiteflies are vectors of cowpea mosaic virus and is also supported by the reports of 180 [29] and [30]. Aphids and leafhoppers were also found in association with diseased maize 181 plants agreeing favourably with the reports of [31,32] who both reported that Aphids and 182 Leafhoppers are vectors of maize mosaic disease. [33] reported that the main vectors of the 183 okra mosaic virus were Aphids and whiteflies, these two arthropods were also found to be 184 associated with the diseased okra plants sampled in this research. Aphids and whiteflies were 185 found to be associated with diseased Pawpaw plants this is consistent with reports by [25] 186 who reported that whiteflies are responsible for the transmission of Leaf curl disease of 187 papaya. Aphids were found on diseased pepper plants in both locations where high incidence 188 was recorded, these results are in correlation with the findings of [26], who reported that 189 Pepper yellow mottle mosaic disease is transmitted by aphids. 190

Results of this study reveal variations in the rate of infection in the different locations 191 surveyed. Crops with viral symptoms in some locations showed high incidence, while their 192 incidence was relatively low in other locations. For instance, the cowpea was higher in 193 194 Sokoto Rima farms with 84% and relatively low in Wakaji village with 34%. Such variations were also observed with Okra with an incidence rate of 100% in Garhi village and 45% in 195 FUDMA botanical garden, this according to [34] can be as a result of many factors such as 196 variations in the age of plant as at the time of infection, environmental factors, climatic 197 factors of temperature, rain, wind, cultural practices employed and the presence or absence of 198 199 disease vectors. It was observed that plants with close spacing showed significantly higher incidence rate than wider spaced plants, this corroborates with the report by [35] that close 200 spacing of rice encouraged the spread of bacterial leaf blight. According to [36] close spacing 201 also favours the optomotor landing response of Aphids by providing enough groundcover for 202 the landing of winged aphids. 203

Research results also revealed that areas like Garhi village, Sokoto Rima Farms and FUDMA 204 livestock farms which had shade plants and dense vegetation cover such as weeds had a 205 higher population of aphids and other vectors, this is consistent with the reports of [34] who 206 reported that Aphid survival and population growth are strongly influenced by local 207 environmental factors and survive on alternate plants such as weeds, roadside vegetation and 208 verges from where they move to crop edges before moving into other parts of the crops. 209

From the results of this research Pawpaw and Pepper plants with viral symptoms shows the 210 highest incidence rate with 100% and 89% respectively, followed by Okra with 72%, Maize 211 212 and Cowpea both showed an incidence of 64% and Amaranth was least with 29%. The high incidence of Pepper plants with viral disease symptoms in Garhi and Wakaji village could be 213 as a result of numerous alternate host species surrounding the pepper field such tomato, okra 214 and a host of other vegetables. This corroborates with the findings of [37] who reported that 215 the proximity of pepper plants to certain important weed host also has contributed greatly to 216 the spread of viral diseases of pepper; these weeds include Vigna sinensis and Solanum 217 *nigrum*. This is also supported by [34]. Research results of higher incidence rate of disease on 218 *Capsicum spp. L.* than other crop plants is consistent with finding of [38] who reported that 219 pepper is highly susceptible to virus diseases in Nigeria and the infection of mosaic viruses is 220 221 more on pepper than all other vegetable crops.

Incidences of crop plants with viral symptoms show highly significant difference at ($P \le 0.01$).

223 This informs a significantly high incidence of viral disease in DLGA based on symptoms.

224 CONCLUSION

This work is intended to place the necessary basis for future studies on plant viral diseases in DLGA, Katsina State, Nigeria. Although validating our findings to the actual viruses involved is absent to so limitations, this research reports that that there is significantly high incidence ($P \le 0.05$) of crop plants with viral symptoms in the study area. Lastly, aphids, thrips, leafhoppers, whiteflies and mealy bugs are organisms found to be associated with crop plants exhibiting viral disease symptoms. Further studies need to be carried out to identify the individual viruses.

232

233 **REFERENCES**

- Savary S., Mille B., Rolland B., and Lucas P. (2006). Patterns and management of crop multiple pathosystems. 35: 231-263.
- 236 2. Bem, A.A., Terna, P. and Bem, L.S. (2012). Foundations of plant pathology. Lambert
 academic publishing, Germany. Pp. 186-200.
- 3. Evans, L. T. (1998). *Feeding the Ten billion. Plants and population growth*. Cambridge:
 Cambridge University Press.Gergerich R.C. and Dolja, V. V. (2006). Introduction to
 Plant Viruses, the Invisible Foe. *The Plant Health Instructor*. DOI: 10.1094/PHI-I2006-0414-01
- 4. Smil, V. (2000). *Feeding the world: a challenge for the twenty-first century*. Cambridge:
- The Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press. **11**: 12-14.
- 5. Nellemann, C., MacDevette, M., Manders, T., Eickhout, B., Svihus, B., Prins, A. and
 Kaltenborn, B. (eds) (2009) The Environmental Food Crisis. The environment's role
 in averting future food crises. A UNEP rapid response assessment. Arendal, UNDP.
- 6. Strange R.N., and Scott P.R., (2005). Plant disease: a threat to global food security. *Annual Review.Phytopathol.* 43:83–116.
- 7. Walkey, D. (1991). Applied Plant Virology. (2nd Eds). Chapman and Hall, London. Pp. 121-132.
- 8. Ford, R. and Evans, T. (2003). Tobacco mosaic virus. *The Plant Health Instructor*. DOI: 10.1094/PHI-K-2003-0528-01.
- 9. Andret-Link and Fuchs. (2005). Transmission specificity of plant viruses by vectors. *Journal of plant pathology*. 87 (3): 153-165.
- Ralf G.D., Krin S.M. and Karyn N.J., (2016). Plant Virus–Insect Vector Interactions:
 Current and Potential Future Research Directions. *Virology* 8:303
- 11. Gergerich R.C. and Dolja, V. V. (2006). Introduction to Plant Viruses, the Invisible Foe.
 The Plant Health Instructor. DOI: 10.1094/PHI-I-2006-0414-01
- 12. Hull, R. (2002). *Matthews' Plant Virology*. (4th Ed.). Academic Press, New York.
 Pp. 56-65.
- 13. Cembali, T., (2003). Economic implications of a virus prevention program in deciduous
 tree fruits in the US. *Crop Protection* 22: 1149-1156.
- 14. Astier, S., Albouy J., Maury, Y., and Lecoq H, (2001). *Principes de Virologie Végétale*.
 (2nd Ed.), INRA publishers, Paris, France. 67:34-45
- 15. Macfarlane, S.A and Inga, Z. (2016). Nematode-borne plant viruses. The James Hutton
 Institute Invergowrie, Dundee, U.K. Pp 365-378

- 16. Sohrab, S.S., Kamal.M.A., Ilah, A., Husen, A., Bhattacharya, P.S., Rana, D. (2016).
 Development of Cotton leaf curl virus resistant transgenic cotton using antisense BC1 gene. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 23 (3): 358-362.
- 17. MacLean M.A., Campbell R.N., Hamilton R.I., Rochon D.M. (1994). Involvement of the
 necrosis virus coat protein in the specificity of fungus transmission by *Olpidium bornovanus*. *Virology* 204: 840-842.
- 273
- 274 18. Eric, M. R. (1998) Microscopy of arthropods: Collection and identification of arthropods.
 275 *Entomology*. 37:67-69
- 19. Kleynhan K.P. SA, N., (1999). Collecting and preserving nematodes. A manual for
 nematology. Ultra vitro, Heriotdale, Johannesberg.
- 278 20. Sarah D. E., Michael J. B., and Landon H. R., (2008). Nematode Diseases of Plants. *Plant* 279 *Pathology*. 8: 41-52.
- 280 21. Ehinmore, I and Kareem, K.T. (2010). Effect of *Amaranthus mosaic virus* on the growth
 281 characters of *Amaranthus hybridus*. *Agric. Biol. J.N.* 1(2):75-79
- 282 22. Bliss, F.A. and Robertson, D.G. (1971). Genetics and host reaction in *Cowpea mosaic virus* 283 and *Cowpea mottle virus*. *Crop science*. 11:258.
- 284 23. James, T. and Bryce W.F. (1990). Insect vectors and their pathogens of maize in the tropics.
 285 *Plant Pathology.* 16:89-93.
- 286 24. Pradeep, K. (2016). Identification of yellow mosaic in Okra. Agronomic sciences,
 287 Dehradun U.K
- 288 25. Vinod, K. (2012). Papaya diseases and its control. *Plant pathology*. 15:67-68
- 289 26. Inoue, N., Fonseca, E.N., and Resende R.O. (2002). Pepper yellow mosaic virus. *Archives* 290 of Virology. 147:849-855.
- 291 27. Masanobu, O. and Hiroshi, K. (1994). Mosaic disease of grain amaranth. *Phytopathology*.
 292 60:119.
- 28. Whitney, W.K, and Gilmer R.M. (1974). Insect vectors of cowpea mosaic virus in
 Nigeria. *Annuals of applied biology*. 77:17-21.
- 295 29. Chant S.R. (1959). Viruses of Cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* in Nigeria. *Annuals of Applied* 296 *Biology*. 47(3):565-573.
- 30. Bock, K. R. (1971). East African plant virus Diseases *Cowpea Mosaic Virus. East Africans agriculture and forestry Journal.* 37:60.
- 31. Bryce, W.F and James, H.T. (1990). Insect vectors and their pathogens of Maize in the tropics. *Phytopathology*.
- 301 32. Mohammed, B., Alegbejo, M.D., Kashina, B.D. and Banwo, O.O. (2017). Prevalence of
 302 viruses infecting sorghum in Nigeria. *International Journal of plant and soil science*.
 303 17(2):1-11.
- 304 33. Givord, L., Pfeiffer and Hirth V. (1972). Yellow mosaic virus of Okra. Virology.
 305 275:1563
- 306 34. Kym, P., and Ken, H. (2010). Aphids natural enemy and smarter management.
 307 Agronomic sciences. 86:22-25.
- 308 35. Have, T., and Kauffman, H.E. (1972). Effect of nitrogen and spacing on bacterial leaf blight
 309 of rice. *Indian farming*. 21:7-10
- 36. John, B. (1964). Effect of planting date and spacing on the incidence of groundnut rosette
 disease and of the aphid vector. *Annuals of applied biology*. Doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.
- 312 37. Alegbejo, M.D. and Uvah, I.I. (1987). Effect of intercropping pepper with tall companion
 313 plants on the incidence of pepper mottle virus on pepper. *Nigerian Journal of* 314 *Entomology*. 7: 82-87.

315 38. Olawole, A., Olusegun, S.B. and Kehinde, T.K. (2012). Ocurrence and distribution of
316 pepper veinal mottle virus and cumcumber mosaic virus in pepper in Ibadan, Nigeria.
317 *Virology Journal.* 9:79.

Cowpea

APPENDIX: FIELD DATA

323 Crops sampled from FUDMA botanical garden

Crop Sample	Number Infected	Number unaffected	Total No. Sampled
Amaranth	7	8	15
Cowpea	11	19	30
Maize	69	81	150
Pawpaw	40	0	40
Okra	68	82	150
Crops sampled fro	om Garhi Village		
Crop Sample	Total No. Infected	Number unaffected	Total No. Sampled
Amaranth	0	20	20
Cowpea	27	23	50
Maize	97	53	150
Okra	100	0	100
Pepper	280	0	280
Crops sampled fro	om FUDMA livestock far	m	
Crop Sample	Total No. Infected	Number unaffected	Total No. Sample
Amaranth	63	37	100
Cowpea	69	31	100
Maize	72	28	100
Okra	100	0	100
Crops sampled fro	om Sokoto Rima Farm		
Crop Sample	Number Infected	Number unaffected	Total No. Sampled
Amaranth	12	38	50

	Maize	67	33	100
	Okra	82	18	100
336				
337				
338				
339	Crops sample	d from Wakaji Village		
	Сгор	Number Infected	Number unaffected	Total No. Sampled
	Sample			
	Amaranth	0	100	100
		0	100	100
	Cowpea	34	66	100
	Cowpea Maize	34 110	66 40	100 100 150
	Cowpea Maize Okra	34 110 46	66 40 56	100 100 150 100