

Original Research Article

Study of effect of cowpea biscuits supplementation on nutritional and cognitive development of malnourished pre-school children

Abstract

This study aimed to determine the effect of supplementation of cowpea biscuits on nutritional status and cognitive development of malnourished pre-school children. Pre-school children, aged 3-5 years, from Saraswati Shishu Mandir School, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand, India) were screened for low weight for age. Malnourished children (N=48), who volunteered, were divided equally into three groups viz. control I (no supplementation), control II (refined wheat flour biscuits) and experimental group (cowpea biscuits) and subjected to intervention for the period of three months. Parameters like height, weight, mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) and cognitive development was analyzed before and after supplementation in each month. No significant differences between groups were observed during follow-up concerning height, weight, MUAC. Experimental group supplemented with cowpea biscuits outperformed significantly in all the domains of cognitive development as compared to control groups. The study concluded that cowpea supplementation is likely to be more effective in cognitive development of malnourished preschool children in short period of time.

Keywords: Supplementation, height, weight, legume, protein and malnutrition

Introduction

Nutritional status of children has a major effect on their cognitive development [1]. Indeed, there is no dispute over the importance of the study of child's nutritional status. Sufficient body of literature existing suggests malnutrition not only affects physical growth, physical activity, motor development but also hampers attention, memory, learning, thinking, perception and impairs intellectual functioning [2,3].

Malnutrition has been recognized to cause serious health, development and economic problem. As per the National Family Health Survey (NFHS)-4 (2015-16), 35.7 per cent children below five years are underweight, 38.4 per cent are stunted and 21 per cent are wasted in the

33 country [4]. Children who suffered from malnutrition of different grades exhibited significant
34 insufficiency in intellectual and behaviour functioning [5]. Malnourished children typically were
35 fatigued and uninterested in their social environment and they were less likely to establish
36 relationships or explore and learn from their surroundings. This consequently affected their
37 overall cognitive development. Malnutrition during preschool age resulted in poor school
38 performance, working ability and physical growth later [6].

39 Protein energy malnutrition (PEM) occurs typically in preschool children under 5 years,
40 whenever the diet is poor in protein and energy [7]. Shortage of cheap, easily digestible
41 complementary foods, containing good quality protein, is one of the main causes of malnutrition
42 in children [8]. Generally, the animal proteins foods are in short supply and expensive.
43 Supplementation of low cost vegetable proteins such as legumes could be an important mode to
44 alleviate the problem of PEM [9]. With a high protein content, along with energy values and the
45 important vitamin and mineral content, legumes should be recognized for their nutritional
46 importance. A combination of cereals with legumes would improve the protein and nutrient
47 density of the subsequent food products [10]. A study on biological value (BV) of cowpea
48 blended with rice and barley weaning foods in albino rats and found that highest BV (90.23%)
49 was observed in diet prepared from autoclaved rice flour and malted cowpea flour [11].

50 The present study has been carried out with the objectives to develop the cowpea biscuits,
51 to determine the sensory acceptability and nutritional composition of biscuits and to examine the
52 effect of cowpea biscuits on nutritional status and cognitive development of malnourished
53 children.

54 **2. Materials and Methods**

55 **2.1 Procurement of raw material**

56 Local variety of cowpea, refined wheat flour, castor sugar, vanilla essence, hydrogenated
57 fat, sodium bicarbonate and baking powder were purchased from the local market of Pantnagar.

58 **2.2 Processing of grain**

59 Cowpea seeds were sun dried for one day and manually cleaned to remove stones, grit,
60 chaff and other impurities. After sun drying, the seeds were oven roasted at 120°C for 2 hours in
61 order to remove its anti-nutrients before milling [12]. The roasted seeds were then milled in flour
62 mill to give the finer flour. Cowpea flour thus prepared was packed in air tight containers and
63 was used for making biscuits in the *Phoolbagh* Bakery, Pantnagar.

64 **2.3 Biscuits preparation**

65 Biscuits were prepared using the basic recipe of Whitley [13, 14].

66 **2.4 Locale and period of study**

67 Out of the three primary schools located in Pantnagar, Saraswati Shishu Mandir had been
68 randomly selected by lottery system. This school was used as a research base from where
69 preschoolers were randomly drawn as respondents for the present study. The study was carried
70 out for a period of three months from February 12, 2011 to May 12, 2011.

71 **2.5 Selection of subjects**

72 Firstly the principal of selected school was approached in the school itself and oriented
73 about the goal of the study. Thereafter, written consent of him was obtained to make
74 anthropometric assessments of children aged 3-5 years. Anthropometric measurement *viz.*, height
75 and weight of 86 subjects were recorded for selection of malnourished children before
76 supplementation. Height measurement was done using a vertical anthropometric rod with the
77 least count of 1.0 mm. Subjects were asked to stand erect and look straight in front with head,

78 shoulder blades, buttock and heels in vertical plane, touching the measuring rod. Footwear and
79 headwear were taken off before taking measurement [15].

80 Weight was recorded in kilograms using digital weighing balance minimum division of
81 0.5 Kg. Machine was placed on leveled surface and when it showed zero, subjects were asked to
82 stand straight, erect, relaxed with minimum clothing and without footwear [15].

83 After preliminary survey, 48 preschool children were identified as malnourished
84 according to weight for age criteria using Gomez classification [16]. The written consent was
85 drawn from parents of the subjects before intervention and the procedure adopted in present
86 investigation was explained to the parent or guardian. Before starting the supplementation,
87 deworming process of three groups was done by using *Albandazole*. Out of total sampled
88 children, three groups of each 20 children were randomly allocated namely control I, control II
89 and experimental groups to whom no supplementation, refined wheat flour biscuits and 70 per
90 cent cowpea incorporated biscuits were fed, respectively. Four subjects from each group were
91 found to be irregular so they had been dropped out. Finally 16 subjects were present in the three
92 groups.

93 **Control I Group:** 16 children kept on home diet only.

94 **Control II Group:** 16 children fed refined wheat flour biscuits.

95 **Experimental Group:** 16 children supplemented with 70 percent cowpea incorporated biscuits.

96 **2.6 Selection of biscuits**

97 Equi-calorie biscuits providing about 500 Kcal of energy/day/child were chosen for
98 supplementation purposes in case of pre-school children. Each supplemented group received ten
99 biscuits comprising the weight of 100g daily. Five biscuits were given in the morning at about 9
100 O'clock and five biscuits in the mid morning at about 11 O'clock.

101 **2.7 Sensory evaluation of biscuits**

102 Both the biscuits were evaluated by a panel of 15 semi- trained judges comprising of post
103 graduate students and faculty members from the Department of Foods & Nutrition, College of
104 Home Science, Pantnagar. The products were attributed for color, texture, flavor, after taste and
105 overall acceptability by score card method where 1= very poor, 2= poor, 3=fair, 4=good
106 and 5=very good.

107 **2.8 Nutritional evaluation of biscuits**

108 The nutrient analysis of 70 per cent cowpea incorporated biscuit and 100 per cent refined
109 wheat flour biscuits were done in triplicates for proximate composition and mineral content viz.
110 iron and zinc [17].

111 **2.9 Measurement of cognitive development in children**

112 Hema Pandey's cognitive development test for pre-schoolers, a standardised test which
113 measures the cognitive abilities of preschoolers (3 to 6 years), was administered orally in a room
114 to ensure privacy of the administration of the test [18]. The child was given sufficient time to
115 complete all the test items. The total score constitutes the "raw" scores. Each child was subjected
116 to test the same day in forenoon. The test measured the cognition in children by verbal and non-
117 verbal items and included six sub tests viz. concept skills; information; comprehension; visual
118 perception; memory and object vocabulary.

119 **2.10 Statistical analysis**

120 Least significant difference was used to analyze significant difference in sensory
121 attributes of 70 per cent cowpea incorporated biscuits and refined wheat flour biscuits. Nutritive
122 value of both biscuits were analyzed by paired comparison test 't-test'. The data was analyzed

123 for percentage and ANOVA to find difference in nutritional status and cognitive development
124 between the groups during pre and post supplementation.

125 3. Results and Discussion

126 3.1 Sensory evaluation

127 Among the two variation mean score for the colour (4.2), appearance (4.0), flavor (4.2),
128 taste (4.4), texture (4.40), aftertaste (4.47) and overall acceptability (4.3) of the refined wheat
129 flour biscuit was high as compared to cowpea biscuits as they have mean score of 3.47 for
130 colour, 3.67 for appearance, 3.6 for score, 3.53 for taste and texture, 3.13 for aftertaste and 3.7
131 for overall acceptability. The reasons of low mean score of cowpea biscuits are colour of biscuit
132 changed from creamy to light brown, texture became slightly rough and also it contained little
133 amount of beany flavour. However, there was non-significant difference in the colour,
134 appearance, flavour taste, texture, aftertaste and overall acceptability of both the biscuits.

135 **Table. 1 Sensory evaluation of biscuits (n=15)**

| Biscuits | Cowpea biscuit (70 per cent) | Refined Wheat Flour biscuit | LSD |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Colour | 3.47 | 4.20 | 1.34 ^{ns} |
| Appearance | 3.67 | 4.00 | 1.33 ^{ns} |
| Flavour | 3.60 | 4.20 | 1.60 ^{ns} |
| Taste | 3.53 | 4.40 | 1.61 ^{ns} |
| Texture | 3.53 | 4.40 | 1.07 ^{ns} |
| Aftertaste | 3.13 | 4.47 | 2.12 ^{ns} |
| Overall acceptability | 3.73 | 4.33 | 1.26 ^{ns} |

136 LSD- Least Significant Difference ns- non significant difference

137 3.2 Nutritional composition of biscuits

138 All the developed biscuits provide one third of the day's requirement. The protein content
139 of the biscuits is around 15.2 g per 100 g. Therefore, both calories and proteins provided by the
140 biscuits could easily satisfy the day's requirement of children of 3-5 years of age. Presence of
141 good amounts of fat and total ash made the biscuits rich in several macro- and micronutrients.

142 **Table.2 Nutritional composition of biscuits on as is basis (n=3)**

| Components | Refined Wheat Flour Biscuit | Cowpea Biscuit |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Moisture (g%) | 4.5±0.1 | 3.2±0.2* (-1.3) |
| Ash (g%) | 0.6±0.1 | 1.3±0.0* (+0.70) |
| Crude protein (g%) | 12.2±0.5 (| 15.2±0.1* (+7.0) |
| Crude fat (g%) | 24.8±0.3 | 24.4±0.2 ^{ns} (-0.4) |
| Crude fiber (g%) | 1.5±0.1 | 4.4±0.2* (+2.9) |
| Carbohydrate by difference (g%) | 68.5±0.1 | 66.8±0.4* (-1.7) |
| Energy (Kcal/100g) | 546±3.8 | 547±2.7 ^{ns} (+1.0) |
| Iron (mg/100g) | 3.1±0.1 | 4.3 ±0.3 ^{ns} (+1.2) |
| Zinc (mg/100g) | 0.1±0.1 | 3.1 ±0.2* (+2.0) |

143 *significant at 5% ns-non significant Mean ± S.D.

144 The values are mean of triplicate estimations/observations

145 Values in the parenthesis shows the mean difference between the nutrient content of biscuits.

146

147 **3.3 Changes in anthropometric parameters before and after supplementation of biscuits**148 **Height, Weight and MUAC:**

149 From Table 3 it is clear that the mean increment in weight, height and MUAC of children
 150 between the groups did not differ significantly. Observations indicate mean increment in weight,
 151 height and MUAC was independent of supplement of cowpea and refined wheat flour biscuits.

152

153 **Table 3: Mean increment in anthropometric measurements after 90 days supplementation**
 154 **of biscuits**

| Groups | Weight (kg) | | | | Height (cm) | | | | MUAC (cm) | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Initial | Final | Difference | Result | Initial | Final | Difference | Result | Initial | Final | Difference | Result |
| ¹ Control- I | 13.5 ± 1.1 | 13.8 ± 1.1 | 0.3 | 1 Vs 2-ns 1 Vs 3-ns 2 Vs 3-ns | 98.5 ± 4.4 | 99.4 ± 4.3 | 0.9 | 1 Vs 2-ns 1 Vs 3-ns 2 Vs 3-ns | 14.8 ± 0.9 | 14.8 ± 0.9 | 0 | 1 Vs 2-ns 1 Vs 3-ns 2 Vs 3-ns |
| ² Control- II | 13.3 ± 1.3 | 13.9 ± 1.4 | 0.6 | | 98.8 ± 4 | 100.0 ± 4 | 1.2 | | 14.8 ± 0.8 | 14.9 ± 0.7 | 0.1 | |
| ³ Experimental | 13.4 ± 1.1 | 14.2 ± 1.1 | 0.8 | | 100.7 ± 5.2 | 102.2 ± 5.6 | 1.5 | | 14.9 ± 0.9 | 15.1 ± 0.8 | 0.2 | |

155 Values are Mean±S.D.

156 ns = non- significant (p>0.05),

157 Values in parentheses shows mean increment in anthropometric measurements

158 1= Control- I, 2= Control- II and 3= Experimental group

159

160 **3.4 Effect of supplementation on shift in malnutrition grades in the study groups:**

161 The malnutrition was measured according to the grading of Indian Academy of Pediatrics
 162 (IAP) classification (1972). Initially 6.25% subjects of experimental group were in grade III
 163 malnutrition. There were 12.5 % subjects in control- I, 6.25% subjects in control- II and also in
 164 experimental group in grade II malnutrition. Whereas 87.5% subjects in control- I and
 165 experimental group and 93.75% subjects were in grade I malnutrition.

166 At the end of the study period, 18.75% of subjects in control- I and control- II moved
 167 from grade II and grade I to normal. Only 75% and 6.25% in control- I, were still in grade- I and
 168 grade- II respectively. In control- II, 68.75 % and 12.5% were still in grade I and grade II. In
 169 experimental group, 12.5% of subjects moved from grade II and grade I to normal and only

170 81.25% and 6.25% were in grade I and grade II respectively. However, results of shift in
 171 malnutrition grades showed non-significant difference within as well between the groups during
 172 supplementation period.

173 **Table 4: Shift in malnutrition grades**

| GROUPS | | 0 day | 30 day | 60 day | 90 day | Chi square |
|--|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| C-I (No biscuits) | Grade I (mild) | 87.5 (14) | 87.5 (14) | 81.25 (13) | 75 (12) | 3.68 ^{ns} |
| | Grade II (moderate) | 12.5 (2) | 6.25 (1) | 6.25 (1) | 6.25 (1) | |
| | Grade III (severe) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Normal | 0 | 6.25 (1) | 12.5 (2) | 18.75 (3) | |
| C-II (Refined wheat flour biscuits) | Grade I (mild) | 93.75 (15) | 75 (12) | 81.25 (13) | 68.75 (11) | 4.12 ^{ns} |
| | Grade II (moderate) | 6.25 (1) | 18.75 (3) | 12.5 (2) | 12.5 (2) | |
| | Grade III (severe) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Normal | 0 | 6.25 (1) | 6.25 (1) | 18.75 (3) | |
| Experimental group (Cowpea biscuit) | Grade I (mild) | 87.5 (14) | 81.25 (13) | 81.25 (13) | 81.25 (13) | 2.13 ^{ns} |
| | Grade II (moderate) | 6.25 (1) | 12.5 (2) | 12.5 (2) | 6.25 (1) | |
| | Grade III (severe) | 6.25 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Normal | 0 | 6.25 (1) | 6.25 (1) | 12.5 (2) | |
| Chi square | 0.06^{ns} | | | | | |

174 Values in parentheses indicate number of preschool children ns = non- significant

175

176 **3.5 Cognitive development of children:**

177 A close perusal of Table 5 illustrates that 43.8%, 56.3% and 43.8% of children from
 178 Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively were conceptually low and only
 179 6.2%, 12.5% and 6.2% were conceptually high, respectively before intervention. However, after

180 90 days a tremendous gain in conceptual skills was observed. Only 6.2%, 12.5% and 0% of
181 children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group respectively were conceptually low.
182 After 90 days 31.3%, 43.8% and 68.8% of children from three groups respectively were
183 conceptually high.

184 Information characteristics reflecting cognition in 3-5 years old children showed that
185 before supplementation 56.2%, 31.3% and 31.2% children were at low level from Control I,
186 Control II and Experimental Group, respectively and 0% children from Control I as well as from
187 Experimental group and 12.4% children from Control II group were at high level. However, after
188 90 days only 6.2%, 0% and 0% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group
189 respectively were at low level and 25%, 18.8% and 68.8% of children from three groups
190 respectively were at high level.

191 Comprehension skill shows that in Control I, Control II and Experimental group 12.5%,
192 31.2% and 12.5% children were in low level and no one was in high level. After 90 days, 0%
193 children in Control I and Experimental group, 6.2% children in Control II group, respectively,
194 were in low level; however, 18.8 % children of Control I and Control II group and 56.2 %
195 children of Experimental group were in high level.

196 In visual perception, 31.2% children of Control I, Control II and Experimental Group,
197 respectively, were at low level and 0% children of Control I as well as of Control II group and
198 12.4% children of Experimental Group were in high level. After 90 days, 18.8 % children of
199 Control I & Control II group were in low level while it is interesting to note that from
200 Experimental group no one was found in low level. However, 6.2% children from Control I
201 group, 18.8% children from Control II group and 87.5% children from Experimental group were
202 in high level, respectively after intervention.

203 Regarding memory, results of the study showed that, 87.5%, 81.2% and 56.2% of
204 children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively were in low level and
205 none of the three groups, respectively, were in high, before intervention. However, after 90 days
206 43.8%, 25.0% and 0% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group
207 respectively were in low level and 6.2%, 0% and 31.2% of children from Control I, Control II
208 and Experimental Group respectively were at high level.

209 In Object vocabulary, 12.5% children of Control I were in low as well as in high level, in
210 Control II group 18.8% children were in low and 25% children were in high level and in
211 Experimental group, 0% children were in low level and 31.2% children were in high level .
212 However, after 90 days it was observed that none of the children from either group was in low
213 level while 87.5%, 68.8% and 100% of children from Control I, Control II and Experimental
214 Group, respectively were at high level.

215 The overall score reveal that 43.8%, 31.3% and 12.5% of children from Control I,
216 Control II and Experimental Group respectively were at low level and only 0%, 12.4%, 6.2% of
217 children from Control I, Control II and Experimental Group, respectively were at high level
218 before intervention. After three months of supplementation it was observed that none of the child
219 from either group was at low level but 31.2%, 25.0% and 81.2% of children from Control I,
220 Control II and Experimental Group respectively were at high level.

221 The rise in cognitive development after 90 days was more in the children from
222 experimental group than those from Control I & Control II group. The reason for it may be
223 attributed to supplementing cowpea biscuits in the diet of experimental group.

224 An overview of Table 5 elucidates that all the domains of cognitive development shows
225 that after three months of supplementation period there is a marked shift of all the three groups

226 (Control I, Control II and Experimental group) from low to high level of cognitive development
 227 which may be due to maturation. But highest shift from low level to high level of cognitive
 228 development was noted among preschoolers of experimental group which clearly indicate the
 229 effect of fortification of protein and energy dense foods in the form of weaning biscuits.

230 **Table 5. Percentage distribution of preschool children on different domains of cognitive**
 231 **development over the period of supplementation**

| Domain of cognitive development | Level | Control I (n=16) | | Control II (n=16) | | Experimental (n=16) | |
|---------------------------------|--------|------------------|------|-------------------|------|---------------------|-------|
| | | B | A | B | A | B | A |
| Conceptual skill | Low | 43.8 | 6.2 | 56.3 | 12.4 | 43.8 | - |
| | Medium | 50.0 | 62.5 | 31.2 | 43.8 | 50.0 | 31.2 |
| | High | 06.2 | 31.3 | 12.5 | 43.8 | 6.2 | 68.8 |
| Information | Low | 56.2 | 6.2 | 31.3 | - | 31.2 | - |
| | Medium | 43.8 | 68.8 | 56.3 | 81.2 | 68.8 | 31.2 |
| | High | - | 25.0 | 12.4 | 18.8 | - | 68.8 |
| Comprehension | Low | 12.5 | - | 31.2 | 6.2 | 12.5 | - |
| | Medium | 87.5 | 81.2 | 68.8 | 75.0 | 87.5 | 43.8 |
| | High | - | 18.8 | - | 18.8 | - | 56.2 |
| Visual Perception | Low | 31.2 | 18.8 | 31.2 | 18.8 | 31.3 | - |
| | Medium | 68.8 | 75.0 | 68.8 | 62.4 | 56.3 | 12.5 |
| | High | - | 06.2 | - | 18.8 | 12.4 | 87.5 |
| Memory | Low | 87.5 | 43.8 | 81.2 | 25.0 | 56.2 | - |
| | Medium | 12.5 | 50.0 | 18.8 | 75.0 | 43.8 | 68.8 |
| | High | - | 06.2 | - | - | - | 31.2 |
| Object Vocabulary | Low | 12.5 | - | 18.8 | - | - | - |
| | Medium | 75.0 | 12.5 | 56.2 | 31.2 | 68.8 | - |
| | High | 12.5 | 87.5 | 25.0 | 68.8 | 31.2 | 100.0 |
| Overall Score | Low | 43.8 | - | 31.3 | - | 12.5 | - |
| | Medium | 56.2 | 68.8 | 56.3 | 75.0 | 81.3 | 18.8 |
| | High | - | 31.2 | 12.4 | 25.0 | 06.2 | 81.2 |

232 Low: 0-9 score Medium: 10-18 score High: 19-27 score n=no. of children

233 B:Before supplementation A: After supplementation

234

235 **3.6 Mean score in various domains of cognitive development**

236 It can be well seen from the Table 6 that after 90 days of supplementation period, in
 237 Conceptual skill there was significant difference found between experimental (20.3) and Control
 238 I group (16.0). In case of Information there was significant difference between Experimental
 239 group (6.1) with Control I (4.6) and Control II (4.4) group. Similarly in Comprehension, Visual
 240 Perception, Memory, Object Vocabulary and Overall Score significant difference was observed
 241 between experimental group with control I group and also with control II group. These results
 242 are indicative of the better cognitive development of experimental group (supplemented with 70
 243 percent cowpea incorporated biscuits) followed by control II (fed refined wheat flour biscuits)
 244 and control I (home diet) bringing out the importance of cowpea.

245 **Table.6 Mean score in the domain of cognitive development**

| Domain of cognitive development | Groups | Mean score | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | | 0 Day | 90 Day |
| Conceptual skill | Control I (1) | 10.7 | 16.0 ^b |
| | Control II (2) | 11.6 | 17.6 |
| | Experimental (3) | 11.4 | 20.3 ^a |
| | C.D. at 5 % | | |
| | 1 v. 2 | Ns | Ns |
| | 1 v. 3 | Ns | 2.9 |
| | 2 v. 3 | Ns | Ns |
| | Information | Control I (1) | 2.4 |
| Control II (2) | | 2.9 | 4.4 ^b |
| Experimental (3) | | 3.1 | 6.1 ^a |
| C.D. at 5 % | | | |
| 1 v. 2 | | Ns | Ns |
| 1 v. 3 | | Ns | 0.8 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2 v. 3 | Ns | 0.8 |
| Comprehension | Control I (1) | 3.1 | 4.6 ^b |
| | Control II (2) | 3.2 | 4.9 ^b |
| | Experimental (3) | 3.7 | 5.6 ^a |
| | C.D. at 5 % | | |
| | 1 v. 2 | Ns | Ns |
| | 1 v. 3 | Ns | 0.6 |
| | 2 v. 3 | Ns | 0.6 |
| Visual Perception | Control I (1) | 2.9 | 4.1 ^b |
| | Control II (2) | 2.9 | 4.2 ^b |
| | Experimental (3) | 3.7 | 6.2 ^a |
| | C.D. at 5 % | | |
| | 1 v. 2 | Ns | Ns |
| | 1 v. 3 | Ns | 0.9 |
| | 2 v. 3 | Ns | 0.9 |
| Memory | Control I (1) | 1.3 ^b | 4.3 ^b |
| | Control II (2) | 2.2 | 4.5 ^b |
| | Experimental (3) | 2.9 ^a | 6.5 ^a |
| | C.D. at 5 % | | |
| | 1 v. 2 | Ns | Ns |
| | 1 v. 3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| | 2 v. 3 | Ns | 1.2 |
| Object vocabulary | Control I (1) | 3.7 | 5.1 |
| | Control II (2) | 3.6 | 5.1 ^b |
| | Experimental (3) | 4.2 | 5.6 ^a |
| | C.D. at 5 % | | |
| | 1 v. 2 | Ns | Ns |
| | 1 v. 3 | Ns | Ns |
| | 2 v. 3 | Ns | 0.5 |
| Overall score | Control I (1) | 24.2 | 38.7 ^b |
| | Control II (2) | 26.5 | 40.8 ^b |
| | Experimental (3) | 29.1 | 50.3 ^a |
| | C.D. at 5 % | | |
| | 1 v. 2 | Ns | Ns |

| | | | |
|--|---------------|----|-----|
| | 1 v. 3 | Ns | 5.5 |
| | 2 v. 3 | Ns | 5.5 |

246 Control I (no supplementation), Control II (fed refined wheat flour biscuits), Experimental (supplemented with 70

247 per cent cowpea incorporated biscuits) ,ns- non significant difference

248 Values with dissimilar letters in superscript are significantly different (p<0.05)

249

250 The findings of present study showed that there was no significant effect of the
 251 intervention for the anthropometric outcomes viz. height, weight and MUAC between the
 252 groups. Several factors probably contributed to the absence of a statistically significant effect on
 253 growth. First, significant number of children was in the category of mild and moderate
 254 malnutrition at baseline. Second, the intervention was of a relatively short duration, especially
 255 given the age of our participants and hence their slower growth rate relative to preschoolers [19].

256 The interesting finding in the present study was that after 3 months of providing cowpea
 257 supplemented biscuits to the children from experimental group, they improved significantly on
 258 all the domains of cognitive development in comparison to those for control II and control I
 259 group. The findings of the present study are in line with that of Nazni *et al.*, who reported that
 260 after three months supplementation of potato flour biscuits, cognitive performance was good in
 261 the supplemented group children as compared to the control group [20]. Similarly,
 262 supplementation of multiple-micronutrient-fortified fruit powder beverage for 16 weeks showed
 263 significant improvements in cognitive performance [21]. In another study supplementation of
 264 beta-carotene fortified biscuits significantly improved the cognitive functions of the children
 265 [22]. The limitation of present study is that biochemical method to assess protein energy
 266 malnutrition status should have been adopted to see the immediate effect of supplementation.

267 **4. Conclusion**

268 It can be concluded that experimental group (supplemented with cowpea incorporated
269 biscuits), control II group (supplemented with refined wheat flour biscuits) and control I (no
270 supplementation) differed on components of cognitive ability. The high scores on cognitive
271 development among experimental group may be attributed to the effectiveness of supplementary
272 nutrition provided at school.

273

274 5. References

- 275 1. Marotz LR. Health, Safety and Nutrition of Young Child. 9th ed. USA, Cengage Learning.
276 2015; 553p.
- 277 2. Nyaradi A, Li J, Hickling S, Foster J, Oddy WH. The role of nutrition in children's
278 neurocognitive development, from pregnancy through childhood. *Frontiers in Human
279 Neuroscience*. 2013; 7: doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00097
- 280 3. Prado EL, Dewey KG. Nutrition and brain development in early life. *Nutrition Reviews*.
281 2014; 72(4): 267-284.
- 282 4. International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) and ICF. National Family Health
283 Survey (*NFHS-4*), 2015-16: India. 2017, Mumbai, IIPS.
- 284 5. Whaley SE, Sigman M, Neumann C, Bwio N, Guthrie D, Weiss RE, Alber S, Murphy SP.
285 The impact of dietary intervention on the cognitive development of Kenyan school children.
286 *The Journal of Nutrition*. 2003; 133(11): 3965-3971S.
- 287 6. Kumari S, Jain R. Assessment of nutritional status of school children from rural Bihar. *The
288 Indian Journal of Nutrition & Dietetics*. 2005; 42: 326-334.
- 289 7. Grover Z, Looi CE. Protein Energy Malnutrition. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*. 2009;
290 56: 1055-1068.

- 291 8. Dhaked R, Gupta MC. A critical review of malnutrition and its management. *International*
292 *Journal of Medical and Health Research*. 2016; 2(4): 43-47.
- 293 9. Leelahagul P, Tanphaichitr V. Nutrition complementation with vegetable protein. In:
294 *Proceedings of the World Congress on Vegetable protein utilization in human foods and*
295 *animal feedstuffs*. Applewhite, T.H. Ed. 1989; American Oil Chemists Society, Illinois. Pp.
296 216-219.
- 297 10. Prasad P, Kochhar A. Nutritional intervention to combat malnutrition among children under
298 the age of five: A review. *International Journal of Health Sciences and Research*. 2015; 5(2):
299 374-380.
- 300 11. Ishfaq B, Iqbal M, Anjum FM, Pasha I, Ishfaq MT, Usman M. Probing nutritional assessment
301 of cereal and cowpea based weaning food. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering*
302 *Research*. 2014; 5(6): 423-428.
- 303 12. Udensi EA, Ekwu FC, Isinguzo JN. Antinutrient factors of vegetable cowpea (*V.*
304 *sesquipedalis*) seeds during thermal processing. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2007; 6(2):
305 194-197.
- 306 13. Whitley PR. *Biscuit Manufacture*. 1970; London, U.K, Applied Science Publisher Ltd. 340p.
- 307 14. Vandana, Kushwaha A, Kumar A. Development of high protein biscuits from cowpea (*Vigna*
308 *unquiculata*) flour. *International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research*. 2014;
309 12(2): 288-291.
- 310 15. Gibson RS. *Principles of nutritional assessment*. 1990; New York. Oxford University Press.
311 691p.

- 312 16. Gomez F, Galvan RR, Cravioto J, Frank S. Malnutrition in infancy and childhood with
313 special reference to Kwashiorkor. *In: Levine, S. ed. Advances in Pediatrics. New York.*
314 *1955; 7, 131p.*
- 315 17. AOAC. Official Method of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington
316 D.C., 1995.
- 317 18. Pandey H. Pandey's Cognitive Development Test for Preschoolers Manual. The National
318 Psychological Corporation, Kacher Ghat, Agra. 1992; pp.1-15.
- 319 19. Eveleth PB, Tanner JM. Worldwide variation in human growth. 2nd ed, Cambridge, United
320 Kingdom, 1990.
- 321 20. Nazni P, Pradhepa S, Hasan A. Effects of weaning biscuits on the nutritional profile and the
322 cognitive development in preschool children. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics. 2010; 36:18.*
- 323 21. Solon FS, Sarol JNJ, Bernardo A, Solon JA, Mehansho H, Sanchez-Fermin LE, Wambangco
324 LS, Juhlin KD. Effect of a multiple-micronutrient-fortified fruit powder beverage on the
325 nutrition status, physical fitness, and cognitive performance of schoolchildren in the
326 Philippines. *Food Nutrition Bulletin. 2003; 24(4):S129-40.*
- 327 22. Van Stuijvenberg ME, Kvalsvig JD, Faber M, Kruger M, Kenoyer DG, Benade AJ. Effect of
328 iron-, iodine-, and beta-carotene-fortified biscuits on the micronutrient status of primary
329 school children: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1999;*
330 *69(3): 497-503.*