

## Study of functional properties for different blends of flours

### Abstract

Functional properties of flour blends from water yam, yellow maize and African yam bean were investigated in this study. Standard method for dry- milling operation was used in the flour processing. Four flour samples were compounded in the ratios of (AFK) 40%WY: 30%YM: 30%AYB; (BGL) 50%WY: 20%YM: 30%AYB; (CHM) 60% WY: 10%YM: 30%AYB (DIN) and 100%WY (EJO) was used as control. Standard methods were used to determine the functional properties. The result showed that bulk density which influences packaging arrangement ranged from 0.58 to 0.76g/ml; water solubility index ranged from 5.80 to 9.20g/g and water absorption capacity ranged from 1.00 to 1.46g/g, the oil absorption capacity ranged from 1.44 to 2.02g/g. Addition of yellow maize and African yam bean improved significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) the functional properties of the flour blend generally and particularly flour sample(DIN) 60%WY: 10%YM: 30%AYB. The improvement in the functional properties would enhance both the nutritional and sensory properties of the flour mixtures.

**Key Words:** Flour; water yam, yellow maize; African yam bean

### 1.0 Introduction

Milligan *et al.* (1981) defined composite flour as a mixture of flours, starches and other ingredients intended to replace wheat flour totally or partially in bakery and pastry products. This binary or ternary mixture may be with or without wheat flour. Wheat flour has being in use in Nigeria because of its functionality. However, local raw materials substitution for wheat flour is increasing due to the ban in the importation of wheat and growing market for confectioneries (Noor Aziah and Koma Thai, 2009) thus, several developing countries in the tropical region have encouraged the initiation of programmes to evaluate the feasibility of alternative locally available flour as a substitute for wheat flour (Abdelghaforet *et al.*, 2011). Interestingly, climate conditions in Nigeria as a tropical country is good for the cultivation of indigenous crops such as yam, maize and African yam bean among others.

Yam (*Dioscorea* species) is the most highly regarded food crop in the tropical West African countries and has become integrated into the cultural, religious, social and economic life of the people (Babu and Parimalavalli, 2012). Yam belongs to the *Dioscorea* genus which includes some 600 species. According to Diop (1998), yams are annual or perennial, tropical tuber-bearing and climbing plant. It is considered as a significant and highly priced starchy crop in West and Central Africa, but largely underutilized industrially. Nigeria is the largest producer of yam; accounting for over 70-75% of the world production. It is estimated that more than 25 % of yam produced is lost annually due to pests and disease. It is an important source of carbohydrate but has only minimal amounts of the other nutrients. Fresh yams are consumed roasted, fried, boiled or beaten into a smooth stiff porridge (pounded yam)

34 in a mortar and eaten with soup. The combination of yam with other foods such as vegetables improves its nutrient  
35 content. Fresh yams are, however, difficult to store and are subject to post harvest losses. Yam flour can be stored  
36 for as long as 12 -18 months depending on the moisture content. Yam flour is processed by drying yam tubers/slices  
37 and milling. Water yam is the most widely distributed species of yam and contains about 80% starch.

38 Cereals are the most widely cultivated and consumed crops on globally. In Nigeria, specifically in the Northern part  
39 of the country, cereal provides a major food resource for man. It is the major source of energy and protein in the  
40 diet of many people. Maize is the second most important cereal crop in Nigeria ranking behind sorghum in the  
41 number of people it feeds. Estimated annual production of maize is about 5.6 million tones. (Central Bank of  
42 Nigeria report 1992).Maize is a multipurpose crop, providing food and fuel for human being and feed for animals  
43 (poultry and livestock). Its grain has great nutritional value and can be used as raw material for manufacturing many  
44 industrial products.The principal protein in maize is zein. This protein is deficient in lysine and tryptophan but has  
45 fair levels of the sulfur-containing amino acids (methionine, cysteine and cystine).Yellow maize is also a major  
46 source of starch with reasonable amount of dietary fibre (Shittuet *al.*, 2007). Yellow maize has promising nutritional  
47 attributes. It contains a useful amount of the water-soluble B-complex vitamins. Yellow maize contains 65434%  
48 starch, 9-10% protein, 8348% carbohydrates, 12-15% moisture, 3-5% fat, 2-3% fiber and 3% ash.

49 African yam bean (AYB) is among the lesser known legumes in the tropics. It grows wild throughout tropical Africa  
50 and is common in Central and Western Africa, especially in southeastern Nigeria. The bean grows well, producing  
51 good yields even on the acidic and highly leached sandy soils of the humid lowland tropics. The pods contain 20-30  
52 smooth, hard roundish seeds which are white, brown, black or mottled (NSA, 1979). The dry seeds are consumed  
53 either roasted and eaten with palm kernel as relics or cooked with other staple foods like yams, maize or dried  
54 cocoyam. Occasionally, it is eaten as moin-moin. The seeds have crude protein levels varying from 21 to 29 percent  
55 which is lower than soybeans (38%). AYB contains high lysine levels while both methionine and tryptophan  
56 contents are low. Both lysine and methionine contents of the protein, however, are equal to or better than those of  
57 soybean (Okigbo, et al., 1977). It has been reported that AYB has high metabolic energy, low true protein  
58 digestibility (62.9%), moderate mineral content and an amino acid content comparable to most pulses. The seeds  
59 contain about 50 - 62% carbohydrate, and 54% fiber (Nwokolo, 1987). The fatty acid composition is similar to most  
60 of the common edible pulses (Nwokolo, 1987).

61 In considering the different flour which could be used as composite flour, factors such as compatibility; that is  
62 suitability for end-use and cost at the point of use must be factored in (Dendy, 1993).

63 Functional properties are the fundamental properties that reflect the complex interaction between the composition,  
64 structure, molecular conformation and physicochemical properties of food components together with the nature of  
65 environment in which these are associated and measured (Siddiqet *al.*, 2009).

66 Functional characteristics are required to evaluate and possibly help to predict how proteins, fat, fibre and  
67 carbohydrates may behave in specific system as well as demonstrate whether or not such unconventional protein can  
68 be used to stimulate or replace conventional protein (Siddiqet *al.*, 2009). It can determined the physical, chemical

69 and/or organoleptic properties of food. It is a known fact that the functionality of flour has a direct relationship to  
70 finished product quality. Therefore, there is the need to understand flour functional properties to serve as a useful  
71 guide for processors and industry on how best a particular flour sample could be used to achieve the desirable end  
72 product. The objective of this study involves the collection of data on the functional properties of flour mixtures  
73 from water yam, yellow maize and African yam bean blend.

## 74 **2.0 Materials and Methods**

75 The water yam was identified as TDA 297 and bought from National Root Crop Research Institute (NRCI),  
76 Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria. The yellow maize and the cream colored African yam bean were identified and  
77 bought from National Institute of Horticulture (NIHOT) Mbato sub zone, Okigwe, Imo State.

### 78 **2.1 Preparation of Raw Materials**

#### 79 **2.1.1. Water Yam Flour**

80 Water yam was washed, manually peeled, sliced (3mm x 5mm) with a stainless knife, under water containing 0.20%  
81 solution of sodium metabisulphate. The sliced water yam were removed and drain for 1h under air current and dried  
82 at 60°C for 6h in a chirana type air convection oven (HS201A). Dried chips were cooled for 2h at room temperature  
83 under air current and milled using Brabender roller mill (Model 3511A). The flour sample was sieved through  
84 0.50mm mesh size, packaged and sealed in polyethylene bag for further use.

#### 85 **2.1.2. African Yam Bean Flour**

86 The cream coloured African yam bean seeds were sorted and cleaned in an aspirator (Model OB 123  
87 BindapstHungary ) located at the food processing laboratory of Federal Polytechnic, Mubi. Cleaned seeds were  
88 soaked for 1h at room temperature . The seeds were sun-dried for 4 days at (30<sup>o</sup>± 2<sup>o</sup>C) and milled with Brabender  
89 roller mill (Model 3511A) to pass through screen with 0.50mm openings. The flour was stored in an air tight plastic  
90 container at room temperature for further use.

#### 91 **2.1.3. Yellow Maize Flour**

92 The yellow maize grain were sorted, and cleaned in an aspirator (Model OB 125 Bindapst Hungary) located at the  
93 food processing laboratory at Federal Polytechnic, Mubi. The cleaned maize grains were conditioned at 40°C for  
94 30min in a stainless steel container. The seeds were sundried for 4days at (30<sup>o</sup>±2<sup>o</sup>C) and then cracked and milled  
95 with Brabender roller mill (Model 3511A). The seed coats were removed to obtain the maize flour to pass through a  
96 screen with 0.50mm openings. The flour was stored in an air tight plastic container at room temperature for further  
97 use.

## 98 **2.2 Flour Blending Ratio**

99 The flours from the water yam, yellow maize and African yam bean were blended in the ratio as shown in Table 1.

100 **Table 1: Flour blending ratio**

| CODED SAMPLES | WY (%) | YM (%) | AYB (%) | Total (%) |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|
| AFK           | 30     | 40     | 30      | 100       |
| BGL           | 40     | 30     | 30      | 100       |
| CHM           | 50     | 20     | 30      | 100       |
| DIN           | 60     | 10     | 30      | 100       |
| EJO           | 100    | 0      | 0       | 100       |

101 Sample EJO = Control (100%) Water Yam; WY = Water Yam; YM = Yellow Maize

102 AYB = African Yam Bean

103

104

105 **2.3 Determination of Functional Properties**

106 All determinations were done in triplicates. The bulk density of the sample was determined according to the method  
 107 described by Iwe and Ngoddy (1998). The volume of 100g of the flour was measured in a measuring cylinder  
 108 (250ml) after tapping the cylinder on a wooden plank until no visible decrease in volume was noticed, and based on  
 109 the weight and volume, the apparent (bulk) density was calculated. The water solubility index (WSI) determination  
 110 was done using method described by (Iwe and Ngoddy, 1998).

111 Water absorption capacity (WAC)/ Oil absorption capacity (OAC) determination was done using method described  
 112 by Anderson (1982). One gram of sample mixed with 10ml distilled water for (WAC) and 10ml vegetable oil for  
 113 (OAC) separately and where allowed to stand at ambient temperature ( $30^{\circ}\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) for 30min, then centrifuge for  
 114 30min at 3000rpm. Water absorption was examined as percent water bound per gram flour.

115

116 **3.0 Results**

117 **Table 2: Functional Properties of Water yam, Yellow maize and African yam bean Flour blends.**

| Sample | Bulk Density (g/m) | WSI (g/g)         | WAC (g/g)         | OAC               |
|--------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| AFK    | $0.58^e \pm 0.10$  | $9.20^a \pm 0.00$ | $1.46^a \pm 0.01$ | $1.99^a \pm 0.02$ |

|     |                          |                          |                          |                           |
|-----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| BGL | 0.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00 | 9.20 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00 | 1.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00 | 1.89 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.06 |
| CHM | 0.76 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00 | 6.60 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00 | 1.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00 | 1.82 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.06  |
| DIN | 0.66 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00 | 8.40 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00 | 1.42 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00 | 2.02 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.10  |
| EJO | 0.62 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.00 | 5.80 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.00 | 1.20 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.00 | 1.44 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.10  |

118 Values are means of triplicate determination ± standard deviation.

119 Means with the same superscript within the column are not statistically ( $p > 0.05$ ) different from each other keys

120 WY: Water yam, YM: Yellow maize: AYB: African yam bean.

121 AFK = 30%WY: 40%YM:30%AYB; BGL = 40%WY: 30%YM: 30%AYB; CHM = 50%WY: 20%YM: 30%AYB;  
 122 DIN = 60%WY: 10%YM: 30%AYB;EJO = 100% WY WSI = Water solubility index; WAC = water absorption  
 123 capacity

124 The bulk density (BD) values ranged from 0.58 to 0.76g/ml, with sample CHM having the highest value (0.76g/ml),  
 125 while sample AFK had the lowest bulk density (0.58g/ml). The flour samples were statistically ( $p > 0.05$ ) different  
 126 from each other in bulk density. The water solubility index (WSI) value ranged from 5.80 to 9.2g/g, with flour  
 127 samples AFK and BGL respectively having the highest water solubility index (5.80g/g). Sample AFK, and BGL  
 128 were not significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) different from each other and were higher than all other flour samples. Inclusion of  
 129 yellow maize and African yam bean might have result to increase in the values of water solubility index of the flour  
 130 sample. The water absorption capacity of the flour samples AFK and DIN and flour BGL and CHM respectively  
 131 were not statistically ( $p > 0.05$ ) different between other. The water absorption capacity (WAC) ranged from 1.00 to  
 132 1.46 g/g, with flour sample AFK having the highest water absorption capacity (1.46g/g), while the flour sample  
 133 BGL and CHM respectively having the lowest water absorption capacity of 1.00g/g. water absorption capacity  
 134 decrease as yellow maize substitution decreased from 30 to 20% and increased at 10% yellow maize. The oil  
 135 absorption capacity ranged from 1.44 to 2.02g/g; there was a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) amongst the samples, with flour  
 136 sample EJO 100% WY having the lowest value, while flour sample DIN having the highest value.

## 137 4.0 Discussion

### 138 4.1. Raw Flour Bulk Density:

139 The bulk density value of the individual raw flour and their blends are shown in table 2. The bulk density ranged  
 140 from 0.76 – 0.58g/ml. This value was close to the reported value on wheat flour by (Nneoma, 2012). The value of  
 141 bulk density value for composite flour was comparable to the reported value by (Egbedike et al., 2016; Onwurafor et  
 142 al., 2016).Bulk density is influenced by the structure of the starch polymers and loose starch polymers could result in  
 143 low bulk density (Malomo et al.,2012). Moreso, bulk density has been found to affect starch noodles sensory  
 144 acceptability as well as transport cost. (Nwabueze et al 2009; Adebowale et al., 2010; Akpata and Akubor, 1999)

145 reported that bulk density would be an advantage in the formation of complementary foods. Therefore the present  
146 study suggests that higher bulk density of composite flour samples might be suitable for use in child feeding.

#### 147 **4.2. Raw Flour Water Solubility Index**

148 The water solubility index value of the raw flour and their blends are shown in table 2.

149 The water solubility index value in this study ranged from 5.80 – 9.20g/g. This was higher than the value reported  
150 on wheat flour according to (Julianti, 2014). (Okeet *et al.*, 2013) reported a higher value of water solubility index of  
151 water yam. Similarly, the value of water solubility index observed for the flour blends in this study was higher than  
152 earlier reported values. Swelling power and solubility patterns of flours have been used to provide evidence for  
153 associative binding force within the granules (Leach *et al.*, 1959).The value of swelling power of the composite  
154 flours obtained in this study was characterized in the category of high restricted swelling starch. This characteristic  
155 is desirable for the manufacture of value –added products such as noodles, with expected high cooking weight.

#### 156 **4.3. Water Absorption Capacity (WAC)**

157 The water absorption capacity values of the raw water yam flour and the blends are shown in table 2. The water  
158 absorption capacity of the raw flour and their blend ranged from 0.58 – 0.76g/g. The observed value in this study  
159 were higher than the range of values as reported by (Adebowaleet *et al.*, 2010), but lower than the value reported on  
160 wheat flour according to Julianti, (2014). Earlier researchers such as Moorthy and Ramanujam, 1986 attributed such  
161 variations to the differences of the species, and other environmental factors amongst other things under which the  
162 yams were grow. Yam has good potential for use due to its low water capacity. Since water absorption capacity is  
163 considered a critical function of protein in vicious foods like soups, graves, and baked goods (Padmashereet *et al.*,  
164 1987). Therefore, increase in water absorption capacity in the composite flour compared to water yam flour is  
165 acceptable. The result in this study is similar to the report by (Egbedikeet *et al.*, 2016) who reported increased water  
166 absorption capacity in formulated flour. Water absorption capacity is an important processing parameter and has  
167 implications for viscosity. It is also important in bulking and consistency of products, as well as in baking  
168 application (Nibaet *et al.*, 2001).

#### 169 **4.4. Oil Absorption Capacity (OAC)**

170 The water absorption capacity values of the raw water yam flour and the blends are shown in table 2.The oil  
171 absorption capacity ranged from 1.44 to 2.02g/g, the value here was within the range of value reported by Julianti  
172 (2012). The higher the oil in the flour the least affinity to absorb oil. Sample DIN had the highest oil absorption  
173 capacity due to less affinity to absorb more oil whereas other samples tend to behave differently. The oil absorption  
174 index is influenced by the lipophilic nature on the granula surface and interior which were influenced for functional  
175 properties of starches (Babu and Parimalavalli, 2012). The major chemical affecting oil absorption index is protein,  
176 which is composed of both hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts. Non-polar amino acid side chains can form  
177 hydrophobic interactions with hydrocarbon chains of lipid (Eltayeb et al., 2011) and has implication in functional

178 properties of flours. Oil absorption index is importance since oil acts as flavor retainer and increase the mouth feel  
179 of foods, improvement of palatability and extension of shelf life particularly in bakery or meat products where fat  
180 absorptions are desired (Aremu et al., 2007).

## 181 **5.0 Conclusion**

182 Addition of yellow maize and African yam been especially at the ratio of 60%WY:10%YM: 30% AYB improved  
183 the functionality of the composite flour. Some parameters tested in this study demonstrated promised as a  
184 replacement for wheat flour. However, test baking should be performed to ensure that desirable end product could  
185 be achieved using this composite flour in the nearest future.

186

187

## 188 **References**

189 Abdelghafor, R.F., Mustafa, A.I Ibrahim, A.M.H and Krishman P.G. (2011). Quality of Bread from composite  
190 flour of sorghum and had white winter wheat. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology* 3:9 -15.

191 Adebowale A. A, Sanni, L.O., and Fadahunsi E.I (2010) Functional and pasting characteristics of cassava sweet  
192 potato starch blends pro 11<sup>th</sup> ISTRC Congo 4-8 October.

193 Akpata M. I and Akubo, P.I (1999). Chemical Composition and Selected Functional Properties of sweet orange  
194 (Citrus Sinensis) Seed Flour. *Plant Food Hum. Nutri.* 54:353 – 362 doi.

195 Aremu, M.O., Olaofe, O. and Akintayo, E.T. 2007. Functional properties of some Nigerian varieties of legume seed  
196 flours and flour concentration effect on foaming and gelation properties. *Journal of Food Technology* 5 (2):  
197 109-115

198 Babu, A.S.andParimaravalli (2012). Functional and chemical properties of starch isolated from tubers international.  
199 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Science* ISSN 2249-8516.

200 Dendy D.A.V (1993). Review of composite flour technology in the context of Tanzania, a paper presented A the  
201 workshop entitled “Sorghum and Millets marketing and utilization held at Acrusha, Tanzania 3 -5 May  
202 1993.

203 Diop, P.H. (1998). Storage and processing of roots and tuber in the tropics, Calverley

204 Egbedike, C.N, Ozo, N.O, Ikegwu, O.J, Odo, M. O and Okoprie, P. A (2016) Effect of rice bran substitution on the  
205 physicochemical properties of water yam flour. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Food Science.* Vol 4:246 –  
206 257.

207 Eltayeb, M., Ali, A.O., Abou-Arab, A.A. and AbuSalem, F.M. 2011. Chemical composition and functional  
208 properties of flour and protein isolate extracted from Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranean*), African  
209 *Journal of Food Science* 5: 82-90.

210 Iwe, M.O. and Ngoddy, P.O (1998) Proximate composition and some functional properties of extrusion cooked  
211 soybean and sweet potato blends. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition* 55:159 – 168.

212 Leach, H. Mc Cowen, L.D. and Thomas, S. (1959). Structure of starch granule: swelling and solubility patterns of  
213 various starches. *Cereal chem* 36:534 – 544.

- 214 Malomo, O, Ogunmoyela, O.A.B, Adakoyeni O.O., Oluwajoba, S.O., Sobanwa, M.O., (2012). Rheological and  
215 functional properties of By-proundo yam flour. *International Journal Food Science and*  
216 *Nutrition*Eng2(6):101 -107. Dol:10:5923/J Food 20120206.01.
- 217 Milligan, E.D., Amlie, J.H., Reyes, J., Garcia A. and Mayer, B. (1981). Processing for Production of edible soy  
218 flour. *Journal American Oil Chemistry Social* 58:331.
- 219 Moorthy S.N. and Ramanujam T. (1986) variation in properties of starch in cassava varieties in relation to age of the  
220 crop starch/starke 38:5861.
- 221 National Academy of Science (1979). Tropical legumes: Resources for the future, pp 27- 32. Washington, DC
- 222 Niba, L.L. Bokonga, M.M., Jackson, E.L., Schlimme, D.S. and Li, B.W. (2001). Physicochemical properties and  
223 starch granular characteristics of flour from various manihotesculenta (cassava) genotyoes. *Journal of Food*  
224 *Science* 67(5):1701 – 1705.
- 225 Nneoma ,E.O, Ngozi, U and Enyidiya,E(2012) Production and evaluation of biscuits from African yam bean and  
226 wheat flours. *Food science and quality management VOL7pp22 -29*
- 227 Noor Aziah, A.A and Komathi, C.A. (2009) Acceptability attributes of crackers made from different types of  
228 composite flour. *International Food Research Journal*16:479 -482.
- 229 Nwabueze, T.U. Glory, A. and Anoruoh,G.A (2009) Evaluation of flour and extruded noodles from eight cassava  
230 mosaic disease (CMD) resistant varieties food bioprocess technology, DOI 1001007/511947 -009.
- 231 Nwokolo E (1987) A nutritional assessment of African yam bean: *Sphenosrylis steno- carpa* (Hochst ex A. Rich)  
232 Harms. *J Sci Food Agric*41 : 123-1 29.
- 233 Oke, M.O., Awonorin S.O and Workneh, T.S. (2013) effect of varieties on physiochemical and pasting  
234 characteristics of water yam flours and starches. *African Journal of Biotechnology* vol. 12 (11) pp. 1250 –  
235 1256.
- 236 Okigbo B.N., Duke, J.A and Reed, C.F. (1977) *Sphenosrylisstenocarpa* (Hochst ex A. Rich) Harms, *Tropical Grain*  
237 *Legume Bulletin* 10: 4-7.
- 238 Onwurafor, E. U.,Onweluzo, J.C. and Uzodinma, E.O. (2016). Chemical properties of fermented maize –  
239 mungbean melt complementary foods fortified with vegetable and crayfish powder –proceedings of the 40<sup>th</sup>  
240 conference of NIFST 24<sup>th</sup> – 26<sup>th</sup> October 2016 pp 157 Coronation Hall, Government House, Kano, Kano  
241 State.
- 242 Padmashree, T.S. Vijayalakshmi, L and Puttara J.S. Effect of traditional processing on the functional properties of  
243 cowpea. *Journal of Food Science Technology* 24:221 – 225.
- 244 Shittu, T., Raji., A.O and Sanni, L.O. (2007) Bread from composite cassava –wheat flour in: effect of baking time  
245 and temperature on some physical properties of bread loaf. *Food research international* 40:280 – 290.
- 246 Siddiq M., Maser M., Rari; R, Dotan JD, Butt, Ms. (2009). Effect of defatted maize germ addition on the functional  
247 and textural properties of wheat flour. *International Journal Food Properties*12:860 – 870.
- 248
- 249
- 250