

**Impacts of Problem-Based-Learning on Academic Learning Process of Pre-Clinical Medical Students in Nile University of Nigeria**

**ABSTRACT**

**Aim:** This study aims to assess the impact of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) on the academic learning process of 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>-year medical students in their pre-clinical years.

**Study design:** A descriptive cross-sectional study

**Place and Duration of Study:** Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Nile University of Nigeria, Abuja, between May 2018 and July 2018.

**Methodology:** Using a standardized semi-structured questionnaire, both quantitative and qualitative methods were used to obtain data from 53 undergraduate medical students (8 males, 45 females; age range 17-25 years), which were collated and analyzed using SPSS version 23.0 statistical package.

**Results:** The mean age of respondents was 15±2 SD. There are more females n=45 (84.9%) than males n=8 (15.1%). Out of the respondents, 50.9% agreed that PBL has helped them in learning and understanding basic medical science courses, 17.1% disagreed, while 28.6% were uncertain. When asked if PBL helped them in preparing for pre-clinical examinations, 38.2% disagree, while 32.4% said it helped them. Evidence from the in-depth interview (IDI) shows that some of the stated usefulness includes; making studying for examinations easier, boosting confidence level, a better understanding of lectures and clinical cases, among others. However, a few of them responded that it was not helpful.

**Conclusion:** Findings showed that the use of PBL has a significant and positive impact on the academic learning processes of pre-clinical medical students of Nile University of Nigeria, Abuja.

*Keywords: Problem-based learning, medical education, medical students, Nile University, Nigeria*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Problem-based learning (PBL) is a pedagogical strategy that allows students to learn when actively involved with significant challenges. In this learning style, learners are usually afforded the privileges to be a problem-solver and a convergent thinker in a cooperative context, developmental frameworks for learning, and also create self-reliant learning behaviors via application and rumination [1], [2], [3].

45 Problem-based learning is largely embraced in various disciplines and educational  
46 settings in the world. It is usually used in promoting the act of problem-solving and  
47 critical thinking in real-life learning conditions. The use of PBL spans through many  
48 fields outside the conventional medical and clinical education [4], due to its  
49 association with interdisciplinary learning and collaborative teamwork. Other fields of  
50 study that implores the use of PBL includes, engineering, business education, allied  
51 and health sciences.

52 This ever-increasing demand and quality of PBL in diverse organizational,  
53 managerial and educational contexts [5], [6], has necessitated a growing number of  
54 inquiries to determine its potency, especially on the quality of student academic  
55 learning process. Also worthy of evaluation is the degree to which its perceived hope  
56 of growing self-reliant and autonomous learning cultures, problem-solving skills,  
57 thought processes, cognitive psychology and rich disciplinary know-how [7], [8],  
58 accomplish its proposed outcomes. A good number of previous studies on PBL were  
59 able to elucidate its effects on the curriculum used in medical education, however,  
60 new studies are now been designed to determine how the various processes  
61 associated with PBL contribute to irrefutable and incontrovertible academic learning  
62 results.

63 PBL is believed to be attractive to several educators because it provides an  
64 educational model which affirms active and group learning, which is prefaced on the  
65 notion that learning is said to be effective when learners can build and co-build  
66 thoughts by mutual transfer and self-targeted learning [9], [10]. Advocators of PBL  
67 assert that it assists in improving the standard of learning through building the  
68 meditative, climacteric and cooperative skills.

69 One of the major objectives of education is to assist students to become effective  
70 learners. Problem-based learning (PBL) has emerged as a prevalent teaching  
71 technique in medical schools, especially during the preclinical years. However, the  
72 use of PBL in undergraduate medical education in Nigeria has been sporadic and  
73 limited.

74 This study aims to assess the impact of problem-based learning (PBL) on the  
75 academic learning process of 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>-year medical students in their pre-clinical  
76 years.

## 77 2. METHODOLOGY

### 78 ***Study design:***

79 A descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out among second and third-year  
80 undergraduate medical students in the Nile University of Nigeria, a privately owned  
81 university based in Abuja, Nigeria.

82 A multi-stage sampling technique was used to select participants for the study.  
83 Interviews were carried out with randomly selected respondents (2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>-year  
84 medical students, n=53), using structured questionnaires alongside an in-depth  
85 interview (IDI) to obtain useful information. The questions focused on various sub-  
86 themes like socio-demographic information, the impact of PBL on their learning  
87 process, the usefulness of PBL in understanding their basic medical science courses  
88 and whether PBL helped them perform well in their examinations.

89 The questionnaire used in the study was constructed in the English language and  
90 was self-administered. It was served after a thorough explanation of the aim of the  
91 study alongside the criteria employed in selecting respondents. Permission to carry  
92 out the survey was officially requested and obtained from the University ethical  
93 review board, with an ethical approval number tagged NUN/ERB/CHS/19/025.  
94 Informed verbal and written consent was obtained from all participants. The  
95 confidentiality of all information was strictly maintained all through the study.

### 96 ***Statistical analysis:***

97 The data retrieved was manually sorted out, collated and organized. It was then  
98 imputed into the computer system for statistical analysis using SPSS version 23.0  
99 statistical package. Frequency tables were created for the demographic  
100 characteristics of the respondents. Qualitative variables were summarized by  
101 proportions. Statistical significance for association was tested using chi-square, with  
102 P-value less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

## 103 3. RESULTS

104 An overall number of fifty-three (53) records of second and third-year medical  
105 students of Nile University of Nigeria, Abuja were obtained and subjected to  
106 statistical analysis. The age range of the participants was between 15-25 years, and  
107 their mean age was 15±2 SD. The age group of most respondents (94.3%) settles

108 within the 15-20 years; and while 96.2% of the total participants are single, only 3.8%  
109 are married. More so, there are more females n=45 (84.9%) than males n=8  
110 (15.1%). The number and percentage of the students who are Muslims are greater  
111 than Christians. The socio-demographic characteristic of the respondents is shown  
112 in Table 1.

113 When the respondents were asked if PBL is useful to their medical training  
114 and education in the Basic Medical Sciences (which comprises of the second and  
115 third year), 50.9% (n=27) of the students agreed that it was useful and beneficial to  
116 their academic learning process, 30.2% (n=16) disagreed, while 18.9% (n=10) were  
117 uncertain of its usefulness, as shown in Figure 1.

118 Following an in-depth interview (IDI), some of the usefulness of PBL, as stated by  
119 the respondents is presented in Table 2.

120 Figure 2 showed the responses of the students when asked whether PBL has  
121 helped them to understand the basic medical sciences (BMS) courses taught in their  
122 pre-clinical years. The major courses taught during this period are Physiology (PHS),  
123 Anatomy (ANA) and Biochemistry (BCM). 56.6% (n=30) of the students agreed that  
124 PBL has helped them in learning and understanding basic medical science courses,  
125 17.0% (n=9) disagreed, while 26.4% (n=14) were uncertain.

126 Furthermore, the respondents stated during the in-depth interview (IDI) that PBL  
127 helped them in understanding BMS courses (Anatomy, Physiology, and  
128 Biochemistry) in several ways as shown in Table 2.

129 More so, the students were asked if PBL helped them in any way to prepare  
130 for modular and promotional examinations of the BMS courses taught in their pre-  
131 clinical year, 52.8% (n=28) of the students agreed that PBL has helped them  
132 significantly to prepare for various examinations they sat for during the course of  
133 their pre-clinical training. These examinations range from theory (essays), multiple-  
134 choice questions (MCQ), practical and viva voce. However, 24.5% (n=13) disagreed,  
135 while 22.6% (n=12) were uncertain if PBL played any meaningful role in assisting  
136 them to prepare for their examinations, as shown in Figure 3.


137 Evidence from the in-depth interview (IDI) conducted indicated some of the ways by  
138 which PBL helped the respondents prepare for their examinations, which is  
139 displayed in Table 2.

140 **Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents**

<b>Age Group</b>	<b>Frequency (N = 53)</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
15 – 20	50	94.3
21 – 25	3	5.7
<b>Gender</b>		
Male	8	15.1
Female	45	84.9
<b>Marital Status</b>		
Married	2	3.8
Single	51	96.2
<b>Religion</b>		
Christian	10	18.9
Muslim	43	81.1
<b>Ethnicity</b>		
Igbo	8	15.1
Hausa	42	79.2
Yoruba	3	5.7

141

142




143

144 Figure 1: Participant's responses to the usefulness of PBL to their education

145 \*<sup>a</sup>= percentage and number of respondents who agreed are statistically significant to those who  
 146 disagreed; \*<sup>b</sup>= percentage and number of respondents who agreed are statistically significant to those  
 147 who were uncertain

148



149

150 Figure 2: Participant's responses to whether PBL helped them understand basic  
151 medical science courses (Anatomy, Physiology, and Biochemistry)

152 <sup>a</sup>= percentage and number of respondents who agreed are statistically significant to those who  
153 disagreed; <sup>b</sup>= percentage and number of respondents who agreed are statistically significant to those  
154 who were uncertain

155


156

157

158

159

160



161

162 Figure 3: Participant's responses to whether PBL helped them prepare for pre-  
 163 clinical examinations.

164 <sup>a</sup>= percentage and number of respondents who agreed are statistically significant to those who  
 165 disagreed; <sup>b</sup>= percentage and number of respondents who agreed are statistically significant to those  
 166 who were uncertain

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177 **Table 2. Impacts of PBL on Academic Learning Process of Respondents**

<b>Learning Processes</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
<b>Usefulness to Medical education</b>	(N = 27)	
Application of learned knowledge	10	37.1
To understand theoretical lessons	5	18.5
Expose students to clinical cases	5	18.5
Build speaking and presentation skills	4	14.8
It boosts self-confidence and critical thinking	3	11.1
<b>Understanding of Pre-clinical courses/subjects</b>	(N = 30)	
Broaden students' horizon in various courses/lessons	10	33.3
Provide correlations between various topics	10	33.3
To learn relationships that exist between all courses	10	33.3
<b>Help in preparing for Pre-clinical examinations</b>	(N = 28)	
Makes studying for examinations easier	12	42.9
Serves as revision notes and slides for study	10	35.7
Serves as a guide towards understanding some examination questions	6	21.4

178

179 **4. DISCUSSION**

180 This study focused on the impacts of PBL on the academic learning process of  
 181 undergraduate medical students in their pre-clinical year, taking into account three  
 182 major themes or areas of interest which includes; usefulness to medical education,  
 183 understanding of basic medical sciences courses and preparation and performances  
 184 in examinations.

185 *4.1. Usefulness to Medical Education/Training*

186 This study confirmed that PBL is useful and beneficial to undergraduate medical  
 187 students in the pre-clinical year of their medical education. This finding agrees with  
 188 earlier studies carried out to determine the effectiveness of PBL in nursing and  
 189 medical education [11], [12], [13]. A total number of 27 students representing 50.90%  
 190 of the respondents attested to its importance in the course of their training.

191 Furthermore, more than half of the respondents also stated how PBL has been of  
192 immense value to their education and training, ranging from the application of  
193 learned theoretical knowledge, development of speaking, analytical and presentation  
194 skills to enhancing of critical thinking. The above benefits derived from PBL by the  
195 respondents were similar to the ones reported in these studies [14], [15], [16].

#### 196 4.2. Understanding Basic Medical Sciences courses/subjects

197 Concerning understanding the content of the subjects taught in the pre-clinical  
198 year, the findings in this study suggest that PBL helped students to better  
199 understand and integrate the various topics and courses taught in Anatomy,  
200 Physiology, and Biochemistry [12], [13]. An outstanding number of students (n=30;  
201 56.60%) agreed to the role and influence PBL played in their comprehension of BMS  
202 subjects.

203 Also, the respondents highlighted how PBL was instrumental in helping them better  
204 understand the courses taught in the pre-clinical year, which includes; providing  
205 meaningful correlations between various topics, learning the relationships that exist  
206 between all courses and broadening the students' horizon in these courses.

#### 207 4.3. Preparation and performances in Pre-clinical examinations

208 Findings from this study suggest that students taught with PBL approach in their pre-  
209 clinical year perform better in their examinations. More so, it was observed that PBL  
210 assisted students in preparing adequately for examinations, which in turn culminates  
211 into better performances in their examinations and academic scores [12], [13]. Out of  
212 the total respondents in this study, 28 students representing 52.80% agreed that  
213 PBL had a positive impact in their preparedness for examinations, and in the long  
214 run, boosted their outcomes in their examinations.

215 This result conform with a study carried out by Loyens *et al.*, [11], where they  
216 investigated the ability of PBL to effect conceptual change on students' performance  
217 in a test. In their study, the PBL-group outweighed both the lecture and the self-study  
218 group. Similarly, the effect of PBL in examination outcomes was also reported in a  
219 study carried out by Shin and Kim [12], where they evaluated the impact of PBL on  
220 the academic performances of nursing students.

221 Furthermore, observations from our study highlighted some of the ways PBL helped  
222 the students, which were as follows; it makes studying for examinations easier,  
223 serves as revision notes and slides for studying, and also serves as a guide towards  
224 understanding some examination questions.

## 225 **5. CONCLUSION**

226 From this study, it was observed that PBL was useful and beneficial to the various  
227 learning processes of the students in their pre-clinical years, which suggests that  
228 PBL could be an effective teaching and learning method, most importantly when it is  
229 used on a long-term basis [18].

230 In conclusion, the use of PBL had a significant positive impact on the academic  
231 learning processes of pre-clinical medical students of Nile University of Nigeria,  
232 Abuja.

233 However, we recommend that it is necessary to carry out additional controlled  
234 experimental research, to further unravel the dynamics that govern how PBL works.  
235 This will definitely enhance the application and implementation of PBL in medical  
236 education and other allied disciplines globally.

237

## 238 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

239 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist

## 240 **CONSENT**

241 All authors declare that written informed consent was obtained from the respondents  
242 for the publication of this study. A copy of the written consent is available for review  
243 by the Editorial office of this journal.

## 244 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

245 All authors hereby declare that all experiments have been examined and approved  
246 by the appropriate ethics committee and have therefore been performed following  
247 the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

248

249

250 **REFERENCES**

- 251 1. Norman GR, Schmidt HG. The psychological basis of problem-based  
252 learning- a review of the evidence. *Acad Med.* 1992;67(9):557–65.  
253
- 254 2. Hmelo-Silver CE. Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn?  
255 *Educ Psychol Rev.* 2004;16(3):235–66.  
256
- 257 3. Schmidt HG, Moust JHC. Factors affecting small-group tutorial learning: a  
258 review of research. In: Evensen DH, Hmelo-Silver CE, editors. *Problem-based*  
259 *Learning: a research perspective on learning interactions.* Mahwah, NJ:  
260 Lawrence Erlbaum; 2000. p.19–52.  
261
- 262 4. Barrows HS. Problem-based learning in medicine and beyond: a brief  
263 overview. In: Wilkerson L, Gilselaers H, editors. *Bringing problem-based*  
264 *learning to higher education: Theory and practice.* San Francisco, CA:  
265 Jossey-Bass Inc; 1996.  
266
- 267 5. Schwartz P, Mennin S, Webb G. *Problem-based Learning: Case Studies,*  
268 *Experience, and Practice.* London: Kogan Page; 2001.  
269
- 270 6. Barrett T, Moore S. *New Approaches to Problem-based Learning.* London:  
271 Routledge; 2010.  
272
- 273 7. Yew EHJ, Schmidt HG. Evidence for constructive, self-regulatory, and  
274 collaborative processes in problem-based learning. *Adv Health Sci Educ.*  
275 2009;14(2):251–73.  
276
- 277 8. Savery JR, Duffy TM. Problem-based learning: An instructional model and its  
278 constructivist framework. *Educ Technol.* 1995;35 (5):31–37.  
279
- 280 9. Palincsar AS. Social constructivist perspectives on teaching and learning. *Ann*  
281 *Rev Psychol.* 1998;49:345–75.  
282

- 283 10. Glaser R, Bassok M. Learning theory and the study of instruction. *Ann Rev*  
284 *Psychol.* 1989;40:631–66.
- 285
- 286 11. Loyens SMM, Jones SH, Mikkers J, van Gog T. Problem-based learning as a  
287 facilitator of conceptual change. *Learn Instr.* 2015;38:34–42.
- 288
- 289 12. Shin I-S, Kim J-H. The effect of problem-based learning in nursing education:  
290 a meta-analysis. *Adv Health Sci Educ.* 2013;18(5):1103–120.
- 291
- 292 13. Oja KJ. Using problem-based learning in the clinical setting to improve  
293 nursing students' critical thinking: an evidence review. *J Nurs Educ.*  
294 2011;50(3):145–51.
- 295
- 296 14. Hmelo-Silver CE, Barrows HS. Facilitating collaborative knowledge building.  
297 *Cognit Instr.* 2008;26(1):48–94.
- 298
- 299 15. Visschers-Pleijers AJ, Dolmans D, Wolfhagen IH, Van der Vleuten CP.  
300 Exploration of a method to analyze group interactions in problem-based  
301 learning. *Med Teach.* 2004;26(5):471–78.
- 302
- 303 16. Visschers-Pleijers AJ, Dolmans D, de Leng BA, Wolfhagen I, Van der Vleuten  
304 CPM. Analysis of verbal interactions in tutorial groups: a process study. *Med*  
305 *Educ.* 2006;40(2):129–37.
- 306
- 307 17. Dochy F, Segers M, Van den Bossche P, Gijbels D. Effects of problem-based  
308 learning: a meta-analysis. *Learn Instr.* 2003;13(5):533–68.
- 309
- 310 18. Strobel J, van Barneveld A. When is PBL more effective? A meta-synthesis of  
311 meta-analyses comparing PBL to conventional classrooms. *Interdiscip J*  
312 *Problem-based Learn.* 2009;3(1):4.