

Effect of Cassava by Product on Performance and Cost of c Giant land Snail (*Archachatina marginata*) Production

Abstract

The present study was aimed to determine the effect of Cassava by Product on Performance and Cost of c Giant land Snail (*Archachatina marginata*) Production. A twelve-week feeding trial was conducted with (n=225 growing snails) with an average weight of 88.95 ± 8.10 g to access their growth response and nutrients digestibility. The snails were fed pawpaw leaf meal (PLM), cassava leaf meal (CLM), cassava peel meal (CPM), cassava sieviate meal (CSM) and cassava chaff meal (CCM) in a complete randomized design at 45 snails per treatment of five treatments, while each treatment was replicated three times. The proximate and fiber fractions of the feedstuffs were also determined and data were analyzed using ANOVA. The proximate evaluation showed highest crude protein in PLM (31.35%) and least in CSM (2.34%). The highest crude fiber was obtained for CPM (16.21%) and least in CCM (3.98%) CSM had the highest NFE (87.41%). Highest neutral detergent fiber (NDF) 59.33%, acid detergent fiber (ADF) 34.24%, acid detergent lignin (ADL) (9.18%), cellulose (25.59%) and hemicelluloses 25.06% was obtained for PLM. Outstanding (PL < 0.05%) weekly weight gain, weekly feed intake, nutrient digestibility and carcass yield were obtained in snails on PLM and CLM followed by CPM. Snails utilized Cassava by-product without any adverse effect.

Keywords: Snails, Digestibility, Fiber, Carcass yield

INTRODUCTION

The cost of animal production is high and has been computed to be about 60-80% of the total cost of animal production, this is because of the high cost of feed ingredients and irregular supply (Oluyemi and Robert, 2000). Conventional feed ingredients such as maize, soyabean, groundnut cake are expensive and there is a need to seek for alternatives. (Agunbiade et al 2011) the high cost of feed and animal protein has created a wide protein gap, the Nigerian food balance sheet of 4.82g/caput/day of animal protein was much lower than the FAO minimum recommendation of 35g/ caput/ day from livestock products (kehinde (2009) and (FAO 2010) this was attributed to the inherent problem of lower production from conventional livestock, due to their poor growth rate, poor feed utilization and small mature body size (Bawa et al 2012)

Alternative sources of animal protein such as snails and other micro livestock are now domesticated for poverty alleviation and increased animal protein intake (Omole 2002). The

36 meat of snail is cherished and regarded as a delicacy, due to its high-quality protein, low fat and
37 its richness in mineral salts such as Iron, Calcium, Magnesium etc.

38 This study tried to evaluate the response of giant land snail (*Archachatina marginata*) to
39 cassava leaf meal (CLM), cassava sieviate meal (CSM), cassava peel meal (CPM), cassava chaff
40 meal (CCM) and pawpaw leaf meal (PLM) as the control treatment, due to its high nutritive
41 value and snails have been found to have good performance response when fed with pawpaw
42 leaf meal. (Akinnusi et al 2018).

43 The choice of cassava based product is due to their all year round availability, cheapness and
44 high level of carbohydrates (Kehinde 2019). Cassava peel was obtained after the peeling of the
45 tubers, while the leaves are collected after cassava harvesting, cassava seiviate is a by-product of
46 cassava flour sieving and the chaff is derived when cassava solution is sieved. Cassava by-
47 products have been used previously to feed livestock by (Tewe, 1997, Akinfala et al 2019,
48 Aguihe et al 2019 and Kehinde et al 2019) and incorporated into poultry diets to reduce cost of
49 production.

50 The cassava by-products used were derived from tropical manihot species (TMS) 30572 sourced
51 from the Agroforestry farm of the forestry research institute of Nigeria and the main anti-
52 nutritional factor in cassava (Cyarogenic glucoside) has reduced to a tolerable level by processes
53 like drying, peeling, frying, retting and boiling.

54 The benefits of nail farming include easy handling, low cost of production, no pollution and low
55 incidence of diseases (Akinnusi et al 2018, Ejidike amd Oyekunle 2019). The most common
56 snail species in Nigeria is *Archachatina marginata*, it is the biggest snail species in Nigeria and
57 its adoption in this trial will further promote snail rearing in captivity. Therefore, present study
58 was aimed to determine the effect of Cassava by Product on Performance and Cost of Giant land
59 Snail (*Archachatina marginata*) Production.

60

61 **Materials and method**

62

63 **Experimental site**

64 The trial was conducted at the Wildlife Department Snail Section of the Forestry Research
65 Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan Oyo State, Nigeria.

66

67 **Experimental animals**

68 Two hundred and forty growing snails of an average weight of (60 ± 0.25 g) were sourced from
69 the departmental farm, the snails were randomly allotted to the treatments (0,5,10 and 15%
70 inclusion of cassava peel) at 60 snails per treatment, while each treatment was replicated thrice.

71

72 **Management of experimental snails**

73 The snails were housed in concrete pens of dimension 0.25 x 0.25. 1m³ for growing snails. The
74 pen was provided with concrete drinkers and feeders. Water was offered throughout the trial,
75 while known quantity of feed was offered, they were fed in the evening, due to their nocturnal
76 nature. The trial lasted for fourteen weeks.

77

78 **Growth performance evaluation**

79 Known quantity of feed was offered to the snails everyday and the left over was measured to
80 determine feed intake, weight change in the treatment was determined on a weekly basis, by
81 using well calibrated electric weighing balance. FCR was evaluated by dividing the feed intake
82 by the weight gain.

83 Shell morphological changes were determined by using vernier caliper to measure the shell
84 length, while the shell thickness was determined with the use of micrometer screw gauge.

85

86 **Nutrient digestibility determination**

87 This was carried out at the end of the 12th week of a 14 week trial. Four snails from each
88 replicate were moved to the constructed wooden metabolic cage of dimension 0.2x 0.2 x0.5 m³,
89 which was lined with a thin foam, for easy collection of voided excreta, the excreta voided were
90 accurately measured on a daily basis, dried in hot air oven at 105bc until the moisture content
91 was constant, then allowed to cool, ground and stored for subsequent proximate analysis
92 determination, by the methods of AOAC (2005).

93 **Proximate and macronutrient analysis of Snail meat**

94 The proximate composition of snail meat was determined by the official methods of analysis of
95 absorbed by the Association of Analytical Chemists (A. O. A. C 10th edition 2005). This elicited
96 the component crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract, Nitrogen free extract, and Ash . All
97 analysis were done in triplicates

98 The level of calcium, potassium and sodium was determined by the method of A.C, Arc (995.11)
99 by the use of the Jen way digital flame photo meter (PF86 model), Phosphorus content of the
100 meat sample was determined by the use of spectro photometric method (A.O.A.C 975.11) and
101 Magnesium by A.O.A.C (975.23)

102 **Statistical analysis**

103 Data collected were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA), using Complete Randomized
104 Design while significant means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test of (1995) as
105 explained by Sam *et al.*, (2019).

106

107 **RESULTS**

108 Table 1 shows the proximate analysis of the feedstuff (PLM, CLM, CPM, CSM and CCM)
109 shows that PLM the control diet had highest (P<0.05) crude protein (31.35%), ash (10.86%) and

110 least NFE (45.61%). Highest crude fiber (16.21%) was recorded for CPM, while CSM had
 111 highest(P<0.05) NFE (87.41%) and least (P<0.05) in crude protein.

112

113 **TABLE 1 PROXIMATE COMPOSITION OF CASSAVA BY-PRODUCTS AND**
 114 **PAWPAW LEAF**

115

	TREATMENTS				
Parameters (%)	PLM	CLM	CPM	CSM	CCM
Crude Protein	31.35	19.45	3.94	2.34	3.62
Crude Fiber	11.42	12.15	16.21	7.35	3.98
Ether Extract	0.76	2.10	1.03	0.25	0.46
Ash	10.86	8.94	4.67	5.12	4.25
Nitrogen Free Extract	45.61	57.39	78.09	87.41	81.66

116

117 CLM = Cassava Leaf Meal

118 CSM = Cassava Sieviate Meal

119 PLM = Pawpaw Leaf Meal

120 CPM = Cassava Peel Meal

121 CCM = Cassava Chaff Meal

122

123 Table 2 Elicited the fiber fraction cyanide and gross energy contents of the feedstuffs. CPM had
 124 the highest(P<0.05) NDF (59.83%), ADF (34.24%), ADL (9.18%), cellulose (25.59%) and hemi
 125 cellulose (25.06%), while CSM was least (P<0.05) in NDF, ADF, ADL cellulose, hemicelluloses
 126 and gross energy (2900 K Cal/Kg).

127 **TABLE 2: FIBRE FRACTION ANALYSIS, CYANIDE AND GROSS ENERGY OF**
 128 **CASSAVA BY-PRODUCTS AND PAWPAW LEAF**

129

	TREATMENTS				
--	-------------------	--	--	--	--

PARAMETERS (%)	PLM	CLM	CPM	CSM	CCM	± SEM
Neutral Detergent Fiber	54.79 ^b	59.83 ^a	44.2 ^d	46.54 ^c	54.79 ^b	0.26
Acid Detergent Fiber	30.40 ^c	34.24 ^a	20.67 ^e	22.92 ^d	32.68 ^b	0.16
Acid Detergent Lignin	8.29 ^b	9.18 ^a	4.21 ^d	5.16 ^c	8.29 ^b	0.02
Cellulose	24.39 ^b	25.59 ^a	23.57 ^c	23.62 ^c	24.39 ^b	0.13
Hemicellulose	22.11 ^c	25.06 ^a	16.46 ^c	17.76 ^d	24.39 ^b	0.03
HCN (mg/kg)	32.68 ^a	21.42 ^d	13.24 ^d	16.24 ^c	-	0.02
Gross Energy (Kcal/Kg)	2800 ^c	2834 ^d	2900 ^c	3116 ^b	3672 ^a	0.16

130

131 abcde: Means along the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05).

132

133

134

135 Table 3 had the performance of the snails in forms of growth, feed intake, shell morphology,
 136 nutrient digestibility and cost of feed per gramm weight gain. Highest (P<0.05) average weekly
 137 weight gain was recorded for snails fed PLM (4.53g) and CLM (4.44g) followed by those on
 138 CPM and least and compared values in snails fed with CSM and CCM. Feed intake also
 139 followed the same trend. Highest shell length movement was recorded for PLM (0.95mm), while
 140 shell thickness was highest (P<0.05) in CPM. Digestibility of crude protein, crude fiber and ether
 141 extract was highest (P<0.05) and comparable in snails fed with PLM and CLM followed by
 142 CPM. Cost of feed per gramm weight gain was ₦ 0.035, ₦ 0.035, ₦ 0.05, ₦ 0.05 and ₦ 0.06 for
 143 PLM, CLM, CPM, CSM and CCM respectively.

144 **TABLE 3: Growth Performance, Nutrient Digestibility and Economy of Production of**
 145 **Snails Fed Cassava By-Products and Pawpaw Leaf.**

146

PARAMETER	TREATMENTS					± SEM
	PLM	CLM	CPM	CSM	CCM	
Initial Body Weight (g)	88.75 ^a	89.71 ^a	88.68 ^a	89.01 ^a	89.56 ^a	0.97
Final Body Weight(g)	143.05 ^a	142.96 ^a	120.12 ^b	111.84 ^c	112.45 ^c	4.68
Average Weekly Weight Gain(g)	4.53 ^a	4.44 ^a	2.62 ^b	1.90 ^c	1.94 ^c	1.15
Average Weekly Feed Intake(G)	33.22 ^a	32.47 ^a	25.36 ^b	21.48 ^c	21.58 ^c	1.89

Feed Conversion Ratio	7.32 ^c	7.43 ^c	9.68 ^b	11.29 ^a	11.31 ^a	0.25
Average Weekly Shell Length Increment(mm)	0.95 ^a	0.72 ^b	0.61 ^c	0.56 ^d	0.57 ^d	0.01
Average Weekly Shell Thickness Increment(mm)	0.03 ^b	0.03 ^b	0.07 ^a	0.02 ^b	0.02 ^b	0.01
Average Weekly Shell Width Increment(mm)	0.54 ^a	0.53 ^a	0.52 ^a	0.46 ^b	0.46 ^b	0.04
Ether Extract Digestibility%	80.05 ^a	81.09 ^a	79.09 ^b	75.00 ^c	75.04 ^c	0.20
Crude Protein Digestibility%	76.25 ^a	75.25 ^a	74.28 ^b	72.15 ^b	72.12 ^b	2.98
Crude Fiber Digestibility%	60.86 ^a	60.05 ^a	59.86 ^a	57.75 ^b	57.28 ^b	1.14
Cost Feed/Kg (₦)	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-
Cost Of Feed/Gramme Weight Gain (₦)	0.035	0.035	0.05	0.05	0.06	-
Carcass Yield (%)	38.47 ^a	38.41 ^a	36.90 ^b	36.85 ^b	36.82 ^b	1.0

147 abcde: means along the same row with different superscripts are significantly (p<0.05) different.

148 **DISCUSSION**

149 The feedstuff adopted for this feeding trial are cheap and available, the choice of pawpaw leaf
 150 meal as the control diet is because it is the most preferred diet of snail (Akintola et al 2019) the
 151 proximate analysis of the feedstuff revealed CLM and PLM as protein rich feedstuffs, with
 152 19.42% and 31.75% respectively, the others (CPM, CCS and CSM) are by products of cassava
 153 tuber processing and they had low protein content which ranged from 1.82% to 3.94%, this
 154 confirmed the findings of Adesehinwa (2018) that cassava by products are low in crude protein
 155 and any diet with cassava based needs protein fortification through the addition of protein
 156 concentrate or by fortification with limiting amino acid. CPM, CCM and CSM are good energy
 157 substrates.

158 Cassava by products and PLM fiber fraction were determine to ascertain quantitative and
 159 qualitative fiber content and compost ion. Neutral D***** fiber (bulk fiber) (59.83%), ADF
 160 (34.24%) ADL (9.18%), cellulose (25.39%) and hemicelluloses were highest (p<0.05) in CPM,
 161 the ADL level is very important for fiber utilization, source it is the part of fiber that is not
 162 digestible and also impair the digestibility of other nutrients, this corroborates the findings of
 163 Aina and oluwasanmi (2003) that utilization of fiber is influenced by its content of lignin.

164 Nutrition is to have previously adopted different processing methods to improve fiber
 165 utilization, such as boiling soaking grinding and fermentation, which reduced fiber content of
 166 feedstuffs and improve their levels of crude protein, in this trial the cassava by products had

167 passed through, drying and soaking in some instances to reduce anti nutritional factors. Aguilé et
168 al (2019) and Akintal et al (2018) stated that processing of cassava by products reduced cyanide
169 and made it safe for consumption by monogastrics. Evaluation of cyanide in this trial revealed
170 that PLM does not contain cyanide, while CLM, CPM, and CSM had cyanide below lethal dose
171 of 100ppm.

172 The significant ($p < 0.05$) high feed intake, weight gain and shell morphological changes obtained
173 for PLM and CLM could be attributed to their higher crude protein contents, which improve feed
174 palatability and feed intake (Agunbiade et al 2002 and Tewe 1997). Average weekly feed intake
175 in PLM (7.43g) compared and higher ($p < 0.05$) than the values for snails fed with CLM, CSM
176 and CCM. The crude protein content of the feedstuffs is important and has been known to affect
177 feed intake and consequently weight gain and feed conversion ratio, which were best in snails
178 fed PLM and CLM.

179 Omole (2002) reported that the bioavailability of calcium and phosphorus for shell thickness
180 increment depended on crude protein content, fiber ADL and ADF and feed intake, which were
181 more favourable in PLM. CLM and CPL followed by compared ($p < 0.05$) in PLM (0.54mm),
182 CLM (0.53mm) and CPL (0.53mm) and were better ($p < 0.05$) than (0.46mm) obtained for CCM
183 and CSM. Improved shell thickness in CPL was attributed by Omole 2002 to the high level of
184 hemicelluloses in CPM, which enhanced calcium and phosphorus utilization, unlike growth in
185 snail which is directly related to protein and feed intake, shell thickness is regulated by shell
186 mineralization. This trial corroborated the findings of Kehinde et al (2006), that high level of
187 dietary crude protein include feed palatability and digestibility in monogastrics, this was
188 exemplified by superior ($p < 0.05$) digestibility of other extracts, crude protein and fiber recorded
189 for snails fed PLM and CLM. Reduced cost of production was achieved in snails fed PLM and
190 CLM. These two forages were outstanding in snail feeding.

191 **Conclusion**

192 **The most common snail species in Nigeria is *Archachatina marginata*, it is the biggest snail**
193 **species in Nigeria and its adoption in this trial will further promote snail rearing in captivity.).**
194 **Average weekly feed intake in PLM (7.43g) compared and higher ($p < 0.05$) than the values for**
195 **snails fed with CLM, CSM and CCM. The crude protein content of the feedstuffs is important**
196 **and has been known to affect feed intake and consequently weight gain and feed conversion**
197 **ratio, which were best in snails fed PLM and CLM. Outstanding ($P < 0.05\%$) weekly weight**
198 **gain, weekly feed intake, nutrient digestibility and carcass yield were obtained in snails on PLM**
199 **and CLM followed by CPM. Snails utilized Cassava by-product without any adverse effect.**

200

201

202 **REFERENCES**

- 203 Adesehinwa, A.O.K (2008). A review paper on energy and protein requirement of pigs and the
204 utilization of fibrous feedstuff in Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology 7(25); 4798-
205 4806
- 206 Aguike, P.C and Kehinde, A.S (2019). Performance, haematological and serum biochemistry of
207 broiler chickens fed differently processed shea butter cake meal Nigerian Journal of
208 Animal Science 21(2):231-239.
- 209 Agunbiade, J.A, Adeyemi. O.A, Salau, K.O and Taiwo A.A (2011) Utilization of hatchery waste
210 meal in cassava products based broilerfinisher diets. Nigerian journals of animal
211 production vol 38(2)74-81
- 212 Agunbiade, J.A, Adeyemi. O.A, Adepoju O.A. and Lawal O.A. (2002), the use of whole cassava
213 meal and leaf in broiler diets. Tropical Journal of Animal Science 5(1); 161-173.
- 214 Ruminant estimate of acid detergent fiber, neutral detergent fiber of different foodstuffs. The
215 proceedings of the 28th Annual conference of Nigeria society for animal production pp
216 311-313.
- 217 Akinfala, E.O, Aimusan, K.O and Adeyemi A.A (2019) characterization of carbohydrate
218 fractions of cassava plant meal and its utilization by giving pigs. Nigerian Journal of
219 Animal Production Vol. 46 (1): 77-84.
- 220 Akinnusi, F.A.O, Oni O.O and Ademolu K.O (2019). Variation in chemical composition of shell
221 and haemolymph of giant African Land Snail *Achachatina marginata* during wet and dry
222 seasons in Nigeria. Journal of molluscan Research Vol 5:31-36
- 223 Akinnusi, F.A.O, Adeoye, A.S, Bello, I.T and Ajuwon, A.O (2018) comparative growth and feed
224 intake analysis of albino and normal skinned Giant African Land Molluscan Research
225 Vol 4:18-24.
- 226 A.O.A.C (2005). Official methods of Analytical chemists washinton DC-
- 227 Duncan, D.B (1955) New Multiple range and Multiple F Test Biometrics 14:1-45
- 228 Ejidike, B.N and oyekunle S.K (2019) survival and growth performance of African Land Snails
229 (*Achatina fulica*) juveniles reared on different soil types. Journal of Molluscan Research
230 Vol 5:25-30.
- 231 F.A.O (2015). Agricultural handbook: poultry meat and eggs 1st edition. Food and Agriculture
232 organization investment division Rome Italy.
- 233 Kehinde, A.S, Aguike P.C and Samuel, K.U (2019). Enzyme supplementation on blood
234 chemistry of growing Japanese Duals fed sundried yam peel based diets. Nigerian Journal
235 of Animal production 46 (1):113-115.

- 236 Kehinde, A.S(2019). Wealth creation and employment through snail production and research,
237 Journal of Molluscan Research Vol 5:1-3.
- 238 Oluyemi, J.A. and F.A. Roberts, 2000. Poultry Production in Warm Wet Climates. 1 Edn.,
239 MacMillan Press Ltd., London, pp: 147-168.
- 240 Bawa, G. S. ; Abia, E. E. ; Oimage, J. J. ; Hassan, M. R. ; Abdu, S. B., 2013. Nutritive value of
241 Dolichos lablab (Lablab purpureus CV. Rongai) forage cut at different stages of growth on
242 performance of weaned rabbits. Nigerian J. Anim. Sci., 15: 23-36
- 243
- 244 Omole, F. K. (2002). A SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF MARKET CENTRES IN THE
245 DEVELOPMENT OF OSUN STATE, NIGERIA (Doctoral dissertation, FEDERAL
246 UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, AKURE).
- 247 Sam, A. M., Cox, A. W., Savage, M. N., Waters, V., & Odom, S. L. (2019). Disseminating
248 Information on Evidence-Based Practices for Children and Youth with Autism Spectrum
249 Disorder: AFIRM. Journal of autism and developmental disorders, 1-10.
- 250 Akintola, T., Raver, C., Studlack, P., Uddin, O., Masri, R., & Keller, A. (2017). The grimace
251 scale reliably assesses chronic pain in a rodent model of trigeminal neuropathic pain.
252 Neurobiology of Pain, 2, 13-17.
- 253 Tewe O O 1997 Sustainability and Development Paradigm from Nigeria's Livestock Industry.
254 Inaugural Lecture delivered on behalf of Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of
255 Ibadan, pg 50