

Original Research Article

Effect of cassava peel based diets on performance and Meat quantity of snail (*Archachatina marginata* Swainson)

Abstract

The effect of cassava peel (CPL) incorporation (0.5, 10 and 15%) in the diets of growing snails (average initial weight $66.0 \pm 0.15\text{g}$) on the growth performance, shell morphological changes, digestibility of nutrients, carcass yield and mineral element composition of the meat was investigated. The nutritional trial adopted four T₁ (0%), T₂ (5%), T₃ (10%) and T₄ (15%) almost isocaloric and isonitrogenous diets. Two hundred and forty growing snails were randomly allotted at 60 snails/treatment, while each treatment was replicated three times. The digestibility of nutrients was evaluated at the 12th of the fourteen-week trial. Data collected were analyzed in a complete randomized design using (ANOVA), a significant difference among the means was separated using Duncan's multiple range test. Cassava peel is rich in NFE (70.0%), low in crude protein (3.94%), while the four diets held almost equal proximate composition. Feed intake increased ($P < 0.05$) from T₁ to T₄ and T₁ (control) had the best ($P < 0.05$) carcass yield. Survivability of snails at all levels was 100%. Highest dry matter digestibility (70.01%) was obtained in T₁; the digestibility of other nutrients also reduced ($P < 0.05$) with CPL incorporation. Meat mineral composition was not compromised by the treatments. Cassava peel based diet was favourably utilized at 15% CPL incorporation without any adverse effect on feed intake, growth, meat quality and carcass yield, farmers should adopt it.

Keywords: Growth performance, Nutrients digestibility, snail meat, cassava peel, growing snail

Introduction

Snail farming has become a promising job creation and empowerment venture that is engaging many farmers as a means of promoting good health and job creation policy of the Federal Government of Nigeria (Kingsley, 2019). It is very common in peri-urban settlements to find backyard micro-livestock rearing and Snail domestication inclusive (Omole, 2002).

There are various reasons for the increasing acceptability of snail farming such as no noise or air pollution, low capital outlay and facility unlike poultry and other livestocks, that are capital intensive (Kehinde, 2009).

Snail meat is very beneficial to its consumers, due to its low level of fat (1.35%), cholesterol (0.5 mg /100mg), low density lipid (3.08 mg/ 100g), high density lipid (1.86 mg/ 100mg) and free fatty acid (3.50mg/100mg) Ebenso et al (2019) . It was further buttressed by Babalola and Akinsoyinu (2009) that snail meat is leaner, juicy and delicious.

The full benefits of the good attributes of snails cannot be explored, if snail supply is left to the gatherers, this has prompted the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria to lead in the captive rearing of a snail, formulation of least cost snail ration and reduction of maturity period. It is also active in the supply of foundation stock, in order to salvage snail from imminent extinction (Kehinde, 2019).

43 Feeding has been a big threat to the livestock industry, because it has been variously computed
44 that feeding constitutes about 70% of the cost of animal production (Oluyemi, and Robert, 2000).
45 Then many alternative feedstuffs that are cheaper and available throughout the year have been
46 used to promote products and sustain the chemical content of snail meat (Bobadoye et al., 2010),
47 due to the feeding habit of snail as a monogastric herbivore, trials have been conducted on the
48 adoption of mulberry leaf, cassava peel, leaf, sieviate, chaff, gliricidia and leuceana leaf in the
49 feeding of snail. This was done to screen the plants and assess their safety in snail feeding.

50 It is a fact that snail cannot subsist only on forages, they perform better on compounded ration. A
51 trial by (Omole, 2002) on the use of plant materials to feed snail showed a ridiculous low
52 dressing percentage of less than 40%. To optimize forages in snail feeding, they are incorporated
53 at different levels which must be determined (Akinnusi et al., 2019). In this study, cassava peel
54 was systematically included in the feed of snail at 0, 5, 10 and 15% to assess its effect on
55 performance, nutrient digestibility and quality of meat. The meat quality is important because the
56 consumer cherishes it as a source of protein and treatment of ailments.

57 **Materials and method**

58 **Experimental site**

59 The trial was conducted at the Wildlife Department Snail Section of the Forestry Research
60 Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan Oyo State, Nigeria.

61

62 **Experimental animals**

63 Two hundred and forty growing snails of an average weight of (60 ± 0.25 g) were sourced from
64 the departmental farm, the snails were randomly allotted to the treatments (0,5,10 and 15%
65 inclusion of cassava peel) at 60 snails per treatment, while each treatment was replicated thrice.

66

67 **Management of experimental snails**

68 The snails were housed in concrete pens of dimension $0.25 \times 0.25 \times 1\text{m}^3$ for growing snails. The
69 pen was provided with concrete drinkers and feeders. Water was offered throughout the trial,
70 while the known quantity of feed was offered, they were fed in the evening, due to their
71 nocturnal nature. The trial lasted for fourteen weeks.

72

73 **Growth performance evaluation**

74 Known quantity of feed was offered to the snails every day and the left over was measured to
75 determine feed intake, weight change in the treatment was determined every week, by using
76 well-calibrated electric weighing balance. FCR was evaluated by dividing the feed intake by the
77 weight gain.

78 Shell morphological changes were determined by using vernier caliper to measure the shell
79 length, while the shell thickness was determined with the use of micrometre screw gauge.

80

81 **Nutrient digestibility determination.**

82 This was carried out at the end of the 12th week of a 14 week trial. Four snails from each
83 replicate were moved to the constructed wooden metabolic cage of dimension $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.5 \text{m}^3$,
84 which was lined with a thin foam, for easy collection of voided excreta, the excreta voided were
85 accurately measured on a daily basis, dried in hot air oven at 105bc until the moisture content

86 was constant, then allowed to cool, ground and stored for subsequent proximate analysis
87 determination, by the methods of A.O.A.C (2005)

88 Proximate and macronutrient analysis of Snail meat

89 The proximate composition of snail meat was determined by the official methods of analysis of
90 absorbed by the Association of Analytical Chemists (A. O. A. C 10th edition 2005). This elicited
91 the component crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract, Nitrogen free extract, and Ash . All
92 analysis were done in triplicates

93 The level of calcium, potassium and sodium was determined by the method of A.C, Arc (995.11)
94 by the use of the Jen way digital flame photo meter (PF86 model), Phosphorus content of the
95 meat sample was determined by the use of spectrophotometric method (A.O.A.C 975.11) and
96 Magnesium by A.O.A.C (975.23).

97 Statistical analysis

98 Data collected were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA), using Complete Randomized
99 Design while significant means were separated using Duncan’s Multiple Range Test of (1995) as
100 explained by Sam *et al.*, (2019).

101 Results

102 **Table 1** shows the gross composition of cassava peel based diets, with cassava peel inclusion at
103 (0, 5, 10, and 15%) in the diet of snails. The diets were compounded to meet the nutritional need
104 of growers snail. The diets had almost the same levels of crude protein (23.34- 23.98%) and
105 Metabolizable energy (2390- 2401kcal /kg).

106 **Table 1. Gross composition** of cassava peel based diets fed to snail.

Ingredients %	Treatments			
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄
Maize	22.50	21.60	21.10	21.10
Maize Offal	10.00	9.00	9.00	7.00
Wheal Offal	10.85	7.35	4.35	1.35
Palm Kernel Cake	5.00	5.00	3.50	1.10
Soya bean cake	25.70	22.10	22.10	22.00
Groundnut cake	10.00	14.00	14.00	16.50
Fish meal	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Oyster shell	9.70	9.70	9.70	9.70
Bone meal	2.15	2.15	2.15	2.15
Grower premix	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Cassava peel	0.00	5.00	10.00	15.0
Estimated Nutrients Composition crude protein	23.98	23.45	23.34	23.38
Metabolizable Energy (Kcal/kg)	2400	2399	2401	2390

107

108 Table 2: Shows the proximate composition of cassava peel (CPL) and cassava peel based diets. It
109 revealed the crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract, Ash and Nitrogen free extract. The diet had
110 the following proximate constituents, crude protein (23.34 – 23.98%) crude fiber (6.45-6.91%)
111 ether extract (20.18- 3.48%), Ash (8,71- 8,96%) and NFE (57.15-57.897). Cassava peel was
112 high in fiber (18.21%) and low in crude protein (3.94%).

113 **Table 2:** Proximate composition of cassava of cassava peel (CPC) and cassava peel based diets
114 fed to growing snails.

	Treatments				
	CPL	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄
Proximate Parameters %					
Dry matter	92.00	92.15	92.83	92.45	93.01
Crude protein	3.94	23.98	23.45	22.34	23.38
Crude fibre	13.21	6.45	6.62	6.91	6.97
Ether Extract	1.03	3.48	3.31	3.26	3.18
Ash %	3.82	8.94	8.96	8.71	8.65
NFE	70.00	57.15	57.86	57.78	57.84

115

116 Growth performance indices were shown on table 3, this revealed, the feed intake, weight gain,
117 shell morphological changes and cost per gram weight gain. Initial weight of the snail (66.50 –
118 66.75g), final weight gain (141.74 – 150.31g), shell thickness increment (0.05mm), shell length
119 **increment** were not significantly varied. Highest daily feed intake was recorded for T₄ (42.00g)
120 and least in the control treatment (35.95g), however, better (P<0.05) and comparable feed
121 conversion value were recorded in T₁, T₂, and T₃.

122 Carcass yield was significantly varied in all the treatments, with the best (P<0.05) performance
123 obtained in T₃ with 10% of cassava peel inclusion.

124

125

126

127

128

129 **Table 3:** Growth performance indices of snail fed cassava peel based diets

	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	SEM±
Initial body weight (g)	66.75	66.75	66.500	66.60	3.20
Final body weight (g)	144.50	150.39	149.04	141.74	2.50
Daily weight gain (g)	6.50 ^{ab}	6.97 ^a	6.92 ^a	6.27 ^b	0.05

Daily feed intake	35.95 ^c	38.21 ^a	38.05 ^a	42.00 ^a	0.70
Feed conversion ratio	5.43 ^b	5.41 ^b	5.43 ^b	6.01 ^a	0.60
Dressing percentage (%)	44.32 ^b	44.09 ^b	44.77 ^a	42.50 ^c	0.40
Offal weight (g)	22.67 ^b	22.83 ^b	22.88 ^b	25.13 ^b	0.30
Shell weight (g)	33.01 ^a	33.09 ^a	32.47 ^b	31.39 ^c	0.50
Shell thickness increment (mm)	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	-
Shell length increment (mm)	0.07	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.06
Shell width increment (mm)	6.21	6.25	6.28	6.29	0.29
Mortality					

130

131 Table 4- Shows the digestibility of nutrients, such as crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract and
 132 nitrogen-free extract, which were all significantly ($P < 0.05$) Varied – best performance in terms
 133 of nutrients digestibility was recorded in the control treatment (T_1).

134 Table 4: Nutrients digestibility of snails feed cassava peel based diets.

	T_1 (0%)	T_2 (5%)	T_3 (10%)	T_4 (15%)	SEM \pm
Nutrient					
Dry matter	70.01 ^a	69.05 ^a	64.05 ^b	64.00 ^b	1.1
Crude protein	69.00 ^b	69.05 ^b	65.00 ^a	64.99 ^a	1.0
Crude fibre	62.00 ^c	58.69 ^b	58.67 ^b	58.00 ^b	1.2
Ether Extract	62.00 ^a	61.00 ^b	59.00 ^c	56.66 ^d	0.75
Nitrogen Free extract	62.33 ^a	61.00 ^b	60.00 ^b	60.00 ^b	2.0

135

136 Table 5, shows the mineral profile and pH values of snail meat fed CPL based diets. The level of
 137 calcium (105.00 -105.7mg/100g), potassium (0.049 – 0.06mg/100g), Iron (1.88 – 1.99mg/100g)
 138 Phosphorus (22.40 – 22.65mg/100g), Copper (0.66 – 0.71mg/100g), Sodium (1.20 –
 139 1.29mg/100g) and pH (9.40) were not significantly ($P < 0.05$) influenced by the treatments.

140 Table 5: Mineral profile of meat of snails fed cassava peel based diets.

Parameters mineral Element	T_1 (0%)	T_2 (5%)	T_3 (10%)	T_4 (15%)	SEM \pm
Calcium (mg/100g)	103.35	105.70	105.45	105.00	0.5
Potassium (mg/100g)	49.00	50.00	60.00	54.00	2.0
Iron (mg/100g)	1.99	1.98	1.99	1.88	0.3
Phosphorus (mg/100g)	22.50	22.60	22.65	22.40	0.10
Copper (mg/100g)	0.69	0.70	0.91	0.66	0.10
Sodium (mg/100g)	1.27	1.28	1.29	1.20	0.10
PH	9.40	9.40	9.40	9.40	

141

142 **Discussions**

143 The gross composition of cassava peel based diets fed to growing snail showed the inclusion of
 144 cassava peel into four almost isocaloric and isonitrogenous diets, the diets were formulated to
 145 meet the nutritional needs of growing snails, based on the recommendation of Omole (2002), that

146 snails require diets that are high in crude protein, for proper metabolism and growth
147 performance, it also impacted on the carcass yield. It was evident from the findings of Kehinde
148 (2019), that snail cannot subsist on forage alone. This agreed with the observation of Ayoola and
149 Adeyeye (2010) and Mogbo et al (2014), when they stated that snail feed must be high in protein
150 to promote growth and shell thickness.

151 Snail shell formation and integrity are important survivability index, hence appropriate inclusion
152 of Oyster shell, bone meal and mineral premix are important. It is a common to experience for
153 snail to leak each **others** shell or for nutrients to irrigate from the foot and haemolymph, which
154 resulted to weight loss confirmation of the importance of snail shell to survival.

155 The diets and CPL were analyzed for their proximate composition, so as to ascertain their levels
156 of dry matter and constituent crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract, ash and NFE. The diets had
157 (23.34 – 23.98%) crude protein, this range agreed with the recommended adequate level
158 suggested by Sam et al (2014). The adoption of cassava peel as an energy source was limited by
159 its low level of crude protein (3.94%) and thus requires supplementation from protein
160 concentrates (Akintola and **Tewe**, 2001 and Akinfela et al., 2013). Cassava peel is also high in
161 crude fiber (13.21%), this has been implicated in nutrient digestibility.

162 Daily weight gain was best in T₂ and T₃, while values in T₁ and T₄ compared (P<0.05). Highest
163 feed intake (P<0.05) was recorded in T₄, because of the compensatory feed intake by the snails,
164 due to low bulk density of the diets fed to snail in T₄ and since animals feed to meet their need
165 for growth, cell formation and survival (Kehinde 2009). Feed conversion was least and
166 comparable (P<0.05) in T₁ to T₃, while diet T₄ was least utilized, a confirmation of the view of
167 Akinfala and Tewe (2001) that cassava products are high in fiber and cause a lot of nutrient
168 dilution thus rendering such diet poorly utilized.

169 Dressing percentage for the treatments (42.50 – 44.77%) were significantly varied, best (P<0.05)
170 carcass yield was obtained in T₃, however, all the values obtained were above the threshold of
171 below 40% dressing percentage obtained for growing snails fed forages (Omole, 2002), due to
172 their inadequacy of nutrients to meet snail metabolism.

173 Offals weight increased as the level of cassava peel in the diet increased, highest (P<0.05) was
174 obtained in T₄, which could be attributed to the muscular activities of the intestine, to digest
175 fiber. Shell integrity was sustained at all levels, this reflected in the comparable (P<0.05) shell
176 thickness increment, shell length increment and shell width increment and guaranteed
177 survivability (100%) recorded in all the treatments, since shell in protects all the internal body
178 parts of snail, in practice, snail with broken shell rarely survive (Akinnusi, 2019).

179 The digestibility of dry matter reduced from T₁ to T₄, which is directly related to the reduced
180 bulk density of cassava peel based diets (Okon et al 2016), which can be enhanced by the
181 fermentation of cassava peel, to break the cell wall, improve its digestibility and throughout
182 protein enrichment (Kehinde et al., 2019).

183 This trial revealed that snail meat is rich in evaluated nutrients, such as Ca (105–
184 105.700mg/100g), K (49.00 – 60.00mg/100g), Fe (1.88 – 199mg/100g), **P** (22.40–
185 22.65mg/100g) Cu (0.66 – 0.71mg/100g) and Na (1.20 – 129mg/100g): these values agreed with
186 the findings of Eruvbetine (2012), Akinnusi et al. (2019). It could be implied that the benefit of

187 eating snail meat were not lost, due to the adequacy of these nutrients in snail raised on cassava
188 peel based diets.

189 **Conclusion**

190 It could be stated that cassava peel is low in crude protein, high in crude fiber and Nitrogen Free
191 Extract. Growing Snail utilized cassava peel based diet without any deleterious effect on the
192 shell, carcass yield, survival and nutrients content of the snail meat. Cassava peel can be properly
193 utilized by snail at an inclusion level of 15%.

194 **Recommendation**

195 Snail farmers should adopt the use of cassava peel in the diets of snail, because it is available all
196 year round, its use will keep the environment clean and promote snail production.

197 **References**

- 198 **A.O.A.C (2005)**. Association of official Analytical chemist official methods of analysis 17th
199 edition Washington.
- 200 **Adeyemi, M.A and Akinfolo E.O (2018)** growth response of growing pigs to diets containing
201 graded levels of cassava on development of a retail livestock industry for Natural
202 Economic Growth. Ilorin , Nigeria 426 – 428.
- 203 **Akinfolo, E.O, Adegboju, S.W and Ilori J.O (2013)**. Evaluation of the nutritive value of
204 while cassava plant as a replacement for maize in the diets of growing pigs in the Torpics Ife
205 Journal of Agriculture 26:15- 22.
- 206 **Akinfolo, E.O, Amusan K.O and Adeyemi M.A (2019)**. Characterization of carbohydrate
207 fractions of cassava plant meal and its utilization in growing pigs Nig of Annm pds 46
208 (1)77–84.
- 209 **Akinnusi, FAO, Oni and Ademolu , K.O (2019)** Variation in chemical composition of shell
210 and Haemolymph of Giant African Land snail *Archachatina marginata*., during wet and dry
211 seasons in Nigeria. Journal of Mollusca research 5:31 – 36.
- 212 **Ayoola, P.B and Adeyeye, A. (2018)**. Phytochemical and nutrient evaluation of carica papaya
213 (pawpaw) leaves. International Journal of Research and Reviews in applied sciences 5(3):325
214 – 328.
- 215 **Babadoye, A.O, Imran, A.S, Babadoye, B.O, Kehinde, A .S, Taiwo, B.H Adedokun, S.A**
216 **and Yekeen, O.M (2010)**. Effect of chromotena *Odorata* and mulberry leaf meals based diets
217 on growth performance and meat quality of African Giant Snail (*Archachatina marginata*)
218 proceeding of the 15th animal conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria, 13th –
219 15th September, University of Uyo, Nigeria pp 102 – 104.
- 220 **Babalola, O.O and Akinyosu A.O (2009)**. Proximate composition and mineral profile of snail
221 meat from different breed of level snail in Nigeria. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 8(12): 1842
222 – 1844

- 223 **Eruvbetine D (2012)**. Nutritional and feeding strategies of the giant Africa Land Snail
224 Proceeding of the 18th international Snail Conference Feb 12 – 15 Abeokuta Nigeria pp 8 –
225 18.
- 226 **Kehinde (A.S) (2009)**: Utilization of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* (Rantz) by –products by
227 African Land Snail (*Archachatina marginata* Swainson) A PhD thesis submitted to the dept
228 of Animal Science, University of Ibadan pp 8.
- 229 **Kehinde A.S (2019)**. Wealth creation and employment through snail production and research.
230 *Journal of molluscan research* vol 5:1-3.
- 231 **Kehinde A.S, Aguihe, P.C and Samuel, K.U (2019)**. Effect of matigrain enzyme
232 supplementation on wood chemistry of growing Japanese Quails fed sundried yam peel based
233 diets. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production* Vol 46: 109- 115.
- 234 **Kengsley, E.A (2019)**. Threat of climate change on land snail diversity keynote address
235 presented at the opening ceremony of the international conference on Giant African Land
236 Snails. pp 3 – 8.
- 237 **Mogbo, T.C, Nwakwo, O.D and Nwuzor I.L (2014)**, Growth performance of snail (*Achatina*
238 *fulica*) fed with three different leaf materials. **American Journal of Biology and life sciences.**
- 239 **Okon, B, Ibom, I.A, Inar-Ibor O.B and Owai, P.U (2016)** Nutritional evaluation of a
240 *marginata* feed diets containing full fat rubber as replacement for full fat soyabean Nig J of
241 *Agric food and Eavt* 12(2):1-8.
- 242 **Poopola, Y.A and Omole, A.J (2019)**. Performance of growing snails (*Archachatina*
243 *marginata*) fed diets containing different levels of sesame seed meat. 8th International
244 conference on Giant land Snail (*Net Gals*) pp 70 – 73.
- 245 **Sam I.M, Essien, C.A, Christopher, G.I and Asuquo, M.A (2019)** Potential feed materials for
246 sustainable snail farming . A review, proceeding of 8th international conference (*Netgals*) in
247 Nigeria pp 27 – 37.
- 248 **SAS (2009)**. SAS user’s guide, version 9.1 for windows, statistical Analysis system institute. Inc,
249 carry, NC, USA.
- 250 **Omole, F. K. (2002)**. A SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF MARKET CENTRES IN THE
251 DEVELOPMENT OF OSUN STATE, NIGERIA (Doctoral dissertation, FEDERAL
252 UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, AKURE).