

1 Comparative Efficacy of Different Brands of Baker's Yeast Used in Bread production in

2 Jos Metropolis, Nigeria.

3 Abstract

4 Consumption of bread and other baked aerated wheat flour products has spurred the needs to
5 determine the leavening ability of different brands of baker's yeast used in bread production. In
6 this study we assessed the leaving ability of different brands of baker's yeast in production of
7 quality bread and the flour used in baking test was Dangote flour. Seven brands of different
8 commercial baker's yeast were collected from the 13 different brands sold in Jos market. These
9 brands includes: Angel instant active dry yeast (ANGY), Saf-instant active dry yeast (SAFY),
10 Food mont instant active dry yeast (FOMY), Pasha instant active dry yeast (PASY), STK- Royal
11 active dry yeast (ROYA), Vahine active dry yeast (VAHY) and Fermipan active dry yeast
12 (FEMY). The results of the viability tests for the different brands of active dry yeast indicated
13 that six out of the seven brands were 100% viable while one had only one dead cell. Statistical
14 analysis (one-sample-t- test) revealed that there was significant different among the different
15 brands of yeasts used ($p < 0.05$), however ANGY had the highest performance viability ($p < 0.002$)
16 and PASY had the least ($p < 0.039$) as shown in table (3) in appendix. The result of the pH
17 variation as function of time at 26°C shows steady decrease in pH values of all the different
18 brands of yeast suspension. Using regression analysis, pH at 150 minutes contribute 96 percent
19 to the leavening ability of different brands of baker's yeast used in bread production and 30
20 minutes contribute the lowest 9.1 percent as shown in the table (4) in the appendix. It was
21 concluded that all the seven brands of baker's yeast tested were suitable for use in bread
22 production when compared with the standard.

23 **Key word:** Baker's yeast, Flour, Fermentation, pH, Temperature

24 INTRODUCTION:

25 Bread is a staple food prepared from dough of flour and water, usually by baking. Consumption
26 of bread and other baked aerated wheat flour products has spread in Nigeria and other
27 developing countries of the world. The capacity of some yeasts to bring a rapid and efficient
28 conversion of sugars into alcohol and carbon dioxide give a great contribution to the progress
29 and well being of the human race more than any other group of microorganism since 2000 B.C.
30 (Gelinas, P, 2009). The bread consume today is the result of the discovery by a French chemist,
31 Louis Pasteur, who proved that, fermentation; an enzyme induced chemical alteration in food
32 was caused by yeast. Although, many genera and species of yeast exist in nature, the most

33 technologically well known and commercially significant yeast in bread making are the related
34 strains and species of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Kanamori *et al.*, 1997). *Saccharomyces*
35 *cerevisiae* are unicellular eukaryotic, microorganisms classified as fungus and they are also
36 known as baker's yeast or beer yeast. Yeast cells reproduce asexually by a process called budding
37 in every 90 minutes and their diameter is usually between 3 and 4 μm . When yeast cells stored
38 under adverse conditions, such as lack of nutrients in the medium or high temperatures, they do
39 not die but undergoing a process called sporulation. Yeast spores can with-stand long times
40 without nutrients, at the low and high temperatures, until the conditions are favourable for
41 reproduction and then they start to sprout all over again (Neiman, A.M., 2011). These organisms
42 which are used as baker's yeast are classical examples of microorganisms which exhibit both
43 aerobic and anaerobic metabolism which are important in commercial circles (Beudeker *et al.*,
44 1990). Yeast is the most important ingredient in dough preparation used for bread making or
45 some other Products. Dough should be with an excellent viability to attain the best leavening
46 power necessary for production of good quality bread. Water is an integral part of wheat flour
47 dough; the amount, physical state and location of water are crucial to the formation of dough that
48 will hold gas and produce an open, aerated crumb structure in the final product (Loveday, 2012).
49 Yeast needs energy to survive, and has a number of ways to attain this energy; fermentation and
50 respiration are two ways (Yerushaml and volesky, 1981). Fermentation is favoured more by
51 reducing sugars such as glucose, fructose, maltose and sucrose, producing alcohol and carbon
52 dioxide gas in the process. The carbon dioxide produced is trapped within the elastic dough
53 resulting in flavoured fermented taste desirable to consumers. The bread produced from
54 different Bakeries in Jos metropolis reveals some glaring variations in taste, flavour and texture
55 when different brands of bread yeasts are used with the same type of bakeries flour. The

56 objective of this work was to assess the efficiency of the different brands of yeast used in dough
57 rising.

58 **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

59 **Samples Collection**

60 Seven brands of baker's yeast were collected from the 13 different brands sold in Jos market.
61 These brands includes: Angel instant active dry yeast (ANGY), Saf-instant active dry yeast
62 (SAFY), Foodmont instant active dry yeast (FOMY), Pasha instant active dry yeast (PASY),
63 STK- Royal active dry yeast (ROYA), Vahine active dry yeast (VAHY) and Fermipan active dry
64 yeast (FEMY). These brands were readily available and are commonly used by bakers within jos
65 metropolis and were duly purchased in packs of 250g each. Out of the 30 bakeries currently in
66 operation in Jos, 10 bakeries houses using these seven different types of yeast were randomly
67 selected.

68 **The Flour Used**

69 The flour used in baking test was Dangote flour. Using a cylindrical polished metal trier- 13mm
70 diameter with a slit 1/3 of the circumference flour samples from ready to use sack for bread
71 making were carefully taken (500g) and put in to clean dry containers and sealed to maintain air
72 tight condition until when required for use.

73 **Determination of Yeast Viability**

74 This was carried out according to the methylene blue staining method adopted by Rocken and
75 Staruss (1976) using Thoma counting Chamber. Exactly 0.1g of each type of yeast under test
76 was weighed in to 10ml warm sterile distilled water. Thereafter 1g of glucose was added and the

77 content was properly shaken to dissolve yeast and sugar completely, this was left in an incubator
78 at 30 °C for 3 hours. The stock was diluted 10 fold by taking 1mL of sample (stock), plus 1mL of
79 methylene blue and 1mL of 5N acetic acid and finally made up to 10mL by the addition of 7mL
80 of sterile distilled water. This process was repeated further to make the dilution to 10⁻² such that
81 the cell concentration was between 15- 300 cells present per microscope field. The drop of the
82 mixture was applied to the ruled grids of the Thoma haemocytometer chamber. By counting the
83 total number of cells in the number of squares and counting the number of blue cells in the same
84 group of squares, the percentage of dead cells were calculated from the total number of cells
85 present.

86 Thus: % viability $\frac{\text{Number of live yeast (unstained cells)}}{\text{Total number of yeast cells (dead and living)}} \times 100$
87

88 **Measurement of Fermentation rate in Bread Yeast**

89 This was carried out according to the methods of Association of Official Analytical Chemists
90 (AOAC, 1980). Standard buffer solutions with pH near that of the sample and two others to
91 check linearity of electrode response were prepared (pH 4, pH 7 and pH 10). Thereafter a
92 solution of the yeast under test was prepared by adding one teaspoonful in to 150mL warm water
93 followed by the addition of a pinch of sucrose in to the solution. The pH equipment was
94 standardized with the standard buffer solutions of pH 4, pH 7 and pH 10 respectively. The
95 electrode was then washed 6-8 times with portions of the sample (yeast) solution and thereafter
96 inserted into the fresh yeast sample solution. The temperature was determined and pH readings
97 were taken at intervals of 30 minutes for 3.5 hours. The fermentation rate which corresponds to
98 the degree of respiratory rate of the yeast was computed by taking the readings of the changes in
99 pH of the yeast solution against time **Specify that this is the decrease in pH.**

100 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

101 The result of the viability tests for the different brands of active dry yeast was shown in Table 1.
102 The results indicated that six out of the seven brands were 100% viable while one had only one
103 dead cell. Statistical analysis (one-sample-t- test) revealed that there was significant different
104 among the different brands of yeasts used ($p < 0.05$), however ANGY had the highest
105 performance viability ($p < 0.002$) and PASY had the least ($p < 0.039$) as shown in table (3) in
106 appendix. The mean percentage significance difference between Angel instant active dry yeast
107 and six others used by these Bakers could have been due to the yeast inability to retain and
108 regain activity after a prolonged storage period, stability and consistency as a result of initial
109 lower processing temperature or increase or reduction of water activity which may usually lead
110 to death or retardation of growth. All the Seven brands of yeast evaluated had high viability
111 values when compared with the standard obtained by Campbell (1980) who reported that yeast
112 cells meant for commercial use should attain percentage viability of 80% and above. Even
113 though, the percentage viability of Fermipan active dry yeast was significantly what compared
114 with the six others, its problem for consideration for commercial usage would have become more
115 significant and unsuitable if it had lower percentage viability as earlier reported by Campbell
116 (1980). It is possible that the observed lower viability count of Fermipan yeast could have been
117 attributed to differences in handling procedures, such as processing, packaging and
118 environmental storage system. It therefore means that prudent processing of baker's yeast, such
119 as adequate drying procedure, packaging, storage, transport and distribution to retailers and
120 consumers should be intensified.

121

122
123

Table 1: Viability of Different active Dry Yeast Brands

Brands of active Dry Yeasts	Cell Counts		No. of dead Cells	Percentage Viability

	a	b		
ANGY	151-0	152-0	Nil	100
VAHY	101-0	107-0	Nil	100
SAFY	152-0	162-0	Nil	100
FOMY	112-0	114-0	Nil	100
FEMY	74-0	80-0	1	99.4
ROYA	108-0	109-0	Nil	100
PASY	112-0	99-0	Nil	100

124

125 **Key:**

126 **ANGY**-Angel instant active dry yeast,

VAHY- Vahine active dry yeast

127 **SAFY**- Saf- instant active dry yeast

FOMY- Foodmont instant active dry yeast

128 **FEMY**- Fermipan active dry yeast

ROYA- STK-Royal active dry yeast

129 **PASY-** Pasha instant active dry yeast

130 Table 2 shows the result of the fermentation rate in bread yeast as pH changes with time at 26⁰C.

131 The steady decrease in pH values of all the different brands of yeast suspension observed in this

132 study (Table 2) indicated that the suspension became more acidic as fermentation proceeded.

133 This confirms similar falls in pH values in acidic food such as gruel-Kunu (pH 5.5 to pH 3.0) as

134 reported by Onuorah *et al.* (1983), beer (pH 4.5 to pH 4.0), and wine (pH 4.0 to pH 3.0) (Hough

135 *et al.*, 1994). Yeast cells are regarded as single cell proteins (SCP) and for every enzyme; there is

136 an optimal pH value at which the enzyme is most active as a catalyst. An increase or decrease in

137 pH value away from the optimum value will cause a decrease in enzyme activity. According to

138 Monica (1987), the stronger the acidic environment or suspension, the lower the pH. Brown and

139 Booth (1991) stated that a decrease in pH is a sign that the sources of fermentable Carbohydrate

140 in the food or system have been exhausted and that the metabolisms of nitrogenous compounds

141 have started. The finding in this study confirmed this report because there was observed steady

142 decrease in pH values in all the different brands of yeast as fermentation proceeded indicating

143 concomitant increase in acidity due to microbial activities. Hydrogen ion (H⁺) concentration is

144 therefore of considerable importance for all living organisms such as bread yeast because any

145 small changes in pH values will be accompanied by marked changes in metabolic processes

146 which could lead to economic loss in commercial spheres.

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157 **Table 2:** pH variation as a function of time at 26⁰ C
 158

Bakers	0	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240
Yeasts									
ANGY	5.43	4.34	4.18	4.08	4.00	3.98	3.94	3.94	3.88
VAHY	5.68	5.35	5.13	5.08	5.03	5.00	4.95	4.90	4.88
SAFY	4.93	4.08	3.93	3.83	3.78	3.72	3.70	3.70	3.63
FOMY	4.68	4.33	4.05	4.03	4.00	3.88	3.78	3.65	3.60
FEMY	4.73	4.25	4.08	4.03	3.95	3.93	3.90	3.83	3.83
ROYA	4.53	4.20	4.00	3.95	3.80	3.73	3.65	3.60	3.58
PASY	5.23	4.93	4.73	4.65	4.53	4.50	4.40	4.33	4.28

159

160 **Key:**

161 **ANGY**-Angel instant active dry yeast,

162 **VAHY**- Vahine active dry yeast

163 **SAFY**- Saf- instant active dry yeast

164 **FOMY-** Foodmont instant active dry yeast

165 **FEMY-** Fermipan active dry yeast

166 **ROYA-** STK-Royal active dry yeast

167 **PASY-** Pasha instant active dry yeast

168

169 **Conclusions:**

170 Based on the percentage viability, the seven brands of yeast evaluated had high viability values
171 when compared with the standard and are therefore suitable for use in bread making. The
172 indicator of yeast activity is carbon-dioxide production coming from decomposition of
173 carbohydrate, the CO₂ output for Vahine active dry yeast and Pasha instant active dry yeast
174 were too low when compared with the five other brands of yeast and therefore should be
175 considered for economic reasons. All baker's yeast samples tested were of good quality.

176 **REFERENCES**

177 A.O.A.C. (1980). Official Method of Analysis. William Horwitz, ed. 13th ed. Association of
178 Official Analytical Chemist. P.O Box 540, Benjamin franklin station,
179 Washington D.C. U.S.A. PP. 100-130.

180 Beudeker, R.F; Vandam, H.W; Vander plah, J.B. and Vallenga, K. (1990). Development in
181 Baker's yeast production. In; yeast Biotechnology and Biocatalyst, marcel Deker,
182 New York. Pp 50-120.

183 Brown, M.H. and Brooth, I.R.(1991). Acidulant and low pH. In Russel, N.J. and Gould, G. W.
184 eds. Food preservation. Blackie published, Glasgyow, pp 20-45.

185 Campbell, I. (1980). Fermentation; An introduction to Brewing Science and Tecnology.
186 Rainbow, C and float, G. E.S. eds. The institute of Brewing, 33 charges street
187 London, WIY8VE, England part ii pp 1-5.

188 Gèlinas, P (2009). Inventions on baker's yeast strains and specially ingradients. Recent Pat.
189 Food Nutrifion. Agric.1:104-132.

190 Hough, J.S; Steven ,S.R. and Young, T.W. (1994). New Brewer. In; J.R.A. Pollock eds. Malting
191 and Brewing Science, volume 1, no 2. Champman and Hall London. pp 4-15.

192 Kanamori, T.J; Nishikawa, S.J; Shin, I.J; Schultz, P.G. and Endo, T. (1997). Probing the
 193 environment along the protein import pathways in yeast mitochondria by site
 194 specific photocross linking. In; proceedings of Natural academic of sciences of
 195 united state of America, volume **94**, 480-490.

196 Loveday, S. M; Huang, V. T; Reid, D. S and Winger, R. G (2012). Water dynamics in fresh and
 197 frozen yeasted dough. Crit. Rev. Food Science Nutrition, **52** (5):390-409.

198 Monica- cheesebrough (1984). Introduction to mycology, medical laboratory manual for tropical
 199 countries volume **2**, pp 372-376.

200 Neiman, A.M. (2011). Sporulation in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Genetics,
 201 volume **189**, 737–765.

202

203 Onuorah, S.I.; Adesiyun, A.A. and Adekoye, J.O. (1983). Survival and multiplication of
 204 *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* in a nigerian cereal drink. *Journal of*
 205 *food and Agriculture* volume **1** (3) pp 169-173.

206 Rocken, W.and Staruss, M. (1976). E.B.C. Yeast Group, Journal of the institute of brewing
 207 volume **5**, pp.209.

208 volume **189**, 737–765.

209 Yerushaml. L. and volesky, B. (1981). Experimental Bioenergetics of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
 210 in Respiration and Fermentation. *Biotech and Bioeng.*, **23** : 2373-2390"

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220 **Appendix**

221 **One-Sample –t –Test (Table 3)**

Test Value = 0

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Mean Difference	df	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
					t	Sig. (2-tailed)
ANGY	151.50	.707	151.500	1	3.0302	.002
VAHY	104.00	4.243	104.000	1	34.667	.018
SAFY	157.00	7.071	157.000	1	31.400	.020
FOMY	113.00	1.414	113.000	1	1.1302	.006
FEMY	77.00	4.243	77.000	1	25.667	.025
ROYA	108.50	.707	108.500	1	2.170E2	.003
PASY	105.50	9.192	105.500	1	16.231	.039

222
223
224
225
226
227

REGRESSION (Table 4)

Model	Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Collinearity Statistics
					Tolerance
(Constant)	.306	.144		2.118	.088
150 MINUTES	.960	.035	.997	2.743E1	.000
0 MINUTES	.101 ^a	2.242	.088	.746	.363
30 MINUTES	-.091 ^a	-.273	.798	-.135	.015
60 MINUTES	.184 ^a	.434	.687	.212	.009
90 MINUTES	-.114 ^a	-.268	.802	-.133	.009
120 MINUTES	-.540 ^a	-1.093	.336	-.479	.005
180 MINUTES	.237 ^a	.417	.698	.204	.005
210 MINUTES	.240 ^a	1.017	.367	.453	.023
240 MINUTES	.118 ^a	1.359	.246	.562	.149

a. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), 150 MINS

b. Dependent Variable: DV

228
229